

REMARKS ON CYCLIC ADDITIVITY

J. W. T. YOUNGS¹

1. Introduction. For the purposes of this discussion suppose that X and Y are topological spaces while G is a commutative, topological semi-group (with zero element) which, as a space, is Hausdorff. In other words, each pair (g_1, g_2) of elements in G uniquely determines an element $(g_1 + g_2)$ in G ; the operation $+$ is associative and commutative; there is a unique element 0 such that $g \in G$ implies $g + 0 = g$; finally, G is a Hausdorff space and the operation $+$ provides a mapping (=continuous transformation) from the product space $G \times G$ into G . Obviously, topological groups, and the space of non-negative real numbers compactified by the addition of ∞ , with the operation $+$ meaning addition, and the convention that $a + \infty = \infty + a = \infty$, provide examples of such semi-groups.

It will be said that lm is a *Peanian factorization* of a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ if and only if there are mappings $m: X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and $l: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow Y$ such that \mathfrak{X} is a Peano space and the composition lm is f . The space \mathfrak{X} is called the *middle space* of the Peanian factorization lm of f .

Let \mathbf{F} be the class of mappings $f: X \rightarrow Y$ each of which has at least one Peanian factorization, and suppose that γ is a transformation from \mathbf{F} into G .

For each Peano space \mathfrak{X} let $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{X})$ be the class of true cyclic elements of \mathfrak{X} . (See Whyburn [6] for the Peano space theory involved in this paper.)² If $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{X})$ there is a unique monotone retraction $r_{\mathfrak{C}}: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. (The double arrow indicates that $r_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathfrak{C}$.) If $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and lm is a Peanian factorization of f with middle space \mathfrak{X} , while $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{X})$, then define $f_{\mathfrak{C}} = lr_{\mathfrak{C}}m$.

It is the object of this paper to investigate the statement

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \qquad \gamma(f) = \sum \gamma(f_{\mathfrak{C}}), \qquad \qquad \mathfrak{C} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{X})$$

where the equality means that for each neighborhood U of $\gamma(f)$ there is a finite subclass $\mathfrak{F}(U)$ of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{X})$ such that if \mathfrak{J} is any finite subclass of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{X})$ containing $\mathfrak{F}(U)$ then $U \ni \sum \gamma(f_{\mathfrak{C}})$, $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathfrak{J}$, it being understood that addition over an empty class yields 0 .

In the event that (1) holds for each $f \in \mathbf{F}$ and for each Peanian factorization of f , then γ is said to be *cyclicly additive*.

Cyclic additivity theorems of a weaker type have been considered

Received by the editors April 11, 1948.

¹ Fellow of the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation.

² Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.