

## ON A CHARACTERISTIC PROPERTY OF LINEAR FUNCTIONS

E. F. BECKENBACH

1. **Introduction.** If the real function  $y = g(x)$ , defined and continuous in the closed and bounded interval  $a \leq x \leq b$ , or in the open interval  $a < x < b$ , is linear there,

$$y = px + q,$$

then for all  $x_0, h$ , with  $h > 0$ , such that  $x_0 - h$  and  $x_0 + h$  lie in the interval of definition, we have

$$(1) \quad g(x_0) = [g(x_0 - h) + g(x_0 + h)]/2.$$

Conversely, if the real function  $y = g(x)$ , defined and continuous in  $a \leq x \leq b$  or in  $a < x < b$ , satisfies (1) for all  $x_0, h$ , with  $h > 0$ , such that  $x_0 - h$  and  $x_0 + h$  lie in the interval of definition, then [4, p. 189]<sup>1</sup>  $y = g(x)$  is a linear function of  $x$ .

If, however, in the converse it is given only that for each  $x_0$ ,  $a < x_0 < b$ , there exists a positive  $h_0 = h_0(x_0)$ , such that  $x_0 - h_0$  and  $x_0 + h_0$  lie in the interval of definition, and for which we have

$$(2) \quad g(x_0) = [g(x_0 - h_0) + g(x_0 + h_0)]/2,$$

then the implications are different in the case that  $g(x)$  is defined and continuous in the closed and bounded interval and in the case that  $g(x)$  is defined and continuous only in the open interval; for in the former case it still follows [3, p. 253] that  $g(x)$  must be linear, while in the latter case  $g(x)$  is not necessarily linear [3, pp. 253–255].

A proof of the above result, that if  $g(x)$  is defined and continuous in the closed and bounded interval and satisfies (2) then  $g(x)$  necessarily is linear, can be given, as we shall show, which applies equally well to characterize, in terms of equalities analogous to (2), classes of functions [1] differing, and even topologically distinct [2], from the class of linear functions.

2. **Theorem.** We shall establish the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\{f(x)\}$  be a class of functions defined and continuous in the closed and bounded interval  $a \leq x \leq b$ , and such that for all real  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$  with  $a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b$  there is a unique member*

---

Presented to the Society, November 24, 1945; received by the editors April 24, 1945.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.