

## A FAMILY OF FUNCTIONS AND ITS THEORY OF CONTACT<sup>1</sup>

J. F. RITT

**Introduction.** If  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are fixed positive integers and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  arbitrary constants, it is possible so to choose the  $a_i$  as to make the function

$$(1) \quad y(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - a_i)^{p_i}$$

and its first  $p_1 + \dots + p_n - 1$  derivatives equal to zero for any single value  $x_0$  of  $x$ . This is accomplished by taking each  $a_i$  equal to  $x_0$ . One might say, on this basis, that *the family of polynomials (1) has contact of order  $p_1 + \dots + p_n - 1$ , for every value of  $x$ , with  $y = 0$ .*

A more interesting situation is met when we allow the  $p_i$  to be any fixed positive numbers, not necessarily integral. In that case  $y(x)$  may be a function of many branches, with the quotient of any two branches equal to a constant of modulus unity. For our purposes it suffices to consider the value zero of  $x$ . If no  $a_i$  is zero, each branch of  $y(x)$  will be analytic at  $x = 0$ , with an expansion

$$c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_sx^s + \dots$$

where the  $c_j$  depend on the  $a_i$ . The question which we examine is: *What is the greatest value of  $s$  such that, by suitably varying the  $a_i$ , the coefficients  $c_0, \dots, c_s$  can be made to approach zero simultaneously?* Such a greatest value of  $s$  exists, and will be called, below, *the order of contact of the family (1) with  $y = 0$ .* Denoting the greatest value of  $s$  by  $r$ , we shall prove that

$$(2) \quad r \leq q + n - 1$$

where  $q$  is the greatest integer less than  $p_1 + \dots + p_n$ . When no proper subset of the  $p_i$  has an integral sum, the equality sign holds in (2). For  $n = 2$ , (2) can be an inequality only when  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are both integers. For  $n \geq 3$ , (2) will certainly be an inequality if some integral power of  $y(x)$  is a polynomial of degree not exceeding  $q + n - 1$ ; thus the order of contact of the family

---

Received by the editors April 9, 1942.

<sup>1</sup> The problem of this note was suggested by the considerations of our paper *On the singular solutions of algebraic differential equations*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 37 (1936) p. 552. See also, W. C. Strodt, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 45 (1939) p. 276.