

# CONCERNING SIMILARITY TRANSFORMATIONS OF LINEARLY ORDERED SETS<sup>1</sup>

BEN DUSHNIK AND E. W. MILLER

1. **Introduction.** As is well known, two linearly ordered sets  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be similar if there exists a 1-1 correspondence between their elements which preserves order. A function  $f$  which defines such a 1-1 correspondence may be called a similarity transformation on  $A$  to  $B$ . In this note we consider two problems concerning similarity transformations which do not seem to have received attention heretofore. The first problem is the following:

(A) *Is it true that every infinite ordered set is similar to a proper subset of itself?*<sup>2</sup>

Before stating the second problem we recall a classical theorem concerning well-ordered sets.<sup>3</sup>

**THEOREM.** *If the set  $A$  is well-ordered, and if  $f$  is any similarity transformation on  $A$  to a subset of  $A$ , then  $f(a) \geq a$  for every  $a$  in  $A$ .*

It is natural to inquire whether this theorem characterizes well-ordered sets—and this is our second problem; more explicitly:

(B) *Let  $A$  be a linearly ordered set such that if  $f$  is any similarity transformation on  $A$  to a subset of  $A$  then  $f(a) \geq a$  for every  $a$  in  $A$ . Is it true that any such set  $A$  is well-ordered?*

We will demonstrate that if the set  $A$  is denumerable, then the answer to both questions is in the affirmative. An example will then be constructed to show that these conclusions need not hold if the set  $A$  is nondenumerable.

2. **The denumerable case.** We obtain first the following result:

**THEOREM 1.** *Every denumerably infinite linearly ordered set  $A$  contains a proper subset  $A'$  to which it is similar.*

**PROOF.** For any two elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $A$ , we will say that  $a$  and  $b$  are *congruent* if either  $a = b$  or if there is only a finite number of ele-

<sup>1</sup> Presented to the Society, September 8, 1939.

<sup>2</sup> This question is a natural one, in view of the familiar definition of an infinite set as one which is *equivalent* to a proper subset of itself.

<sup>3</sup> For theorems mentioned in this paper one may refer to Hausdorff's *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*, 1st edition, 1914, or to Sierpiński's *Leçons sur les Nombres Transfinis*, 1928.