

## ON NON-BOUNDARY SETS\*

A. D. WALLACE

The purpose of this note is largely methodological; namely, to complete the trilogy of dense, boundary, and nondense sets by adding non-boundary sets.

We adhere to the nomenclature of Kuratowski's *Topologie*† except as noted. In particular, we suppose that  $S$  is a nonvacuous space satisfying his axioms of closure, and we write  $F(X) = \overline{X \cdot \overline{CX}}$  and  $X^0 = \overline{CCX}$  where  $CX = S - X$ . If the set  $X$  has the property  $P$ , we write  $X^P$  or  $(X)^P$ , and in the contrary case  $X^{cP}$  or  $(X)^{cP}$ .

A set is *dense* if its closure is the space; *boundary* if its complement is dense; *nondense* if its closure is boundary; and finally, *non-boundary* if its complement is nondense. We designate the properties by  $D$ ,  $B$ ,  $ND$ , and  $NB$ , respectively.

**THEOREM.** *The following conditions are necessary and sufficient in order that a set be*

I. *Dense: The interior of its closure is the space; the boundary of its complement is the closure of its complement; its complement is a boundary set; its closure is a non-boundary set.*

II. *A boundary set: The closure of its interior is null; its boundary is its closure; its complement is dense; its interior is nondense.*

III. *Nondense: The interior of its closure is null; the boundary of its closure is its closure; its complement is a non-boundary set; its closure is a boundary set.*

IV. *A non-boundary set: The closure of its interior is the space; the boundary of the closure of its complement is the closure of its complement; its complement is nondense; its interior is dense.*

We summarize this in the following table of equivalences. The Roman numerals correspond to the statements above, and each statement in a row is equivalent to every other statement in that row.

The proofs of these statements are as follows: Column 2 is a formulation of the definitions. In column 3 statement I 3 follows from I 2 since  $S^0 = S$ ; II 2 is equivalent to  $X^0 = 0$ , which is clearly the same as II 3; III 3 is the complement of III 2; IV 3 is IV 2.

As to column 4, we have for I 4

$$\overline{X} = S \rightarrow \overline{X \cdot \overline{CX}} = \overline{CX} \rightarrow (F(CX) = \overline{CX}).$$

---

\* Presented to the Society, February 25, 1939.

† C. Kuratowski, *Topologie* I, Warsaw, 1933.