## On Jeśmanowicz' Conjecture Concerning Pythagorean Numbers\*),\*\*)

## By Maohua LE

Department of Mathematics, Zhanjiang Teachers College, Guangdong P. R. China (Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., May 13, 1996)

Abstract: Let r, s be positive integers satisfying r > s,  $2 \mid r$  and  $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ . In this paper, using Baker's method, we prove that if  $2 | r, r \ge 81s$  and  $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then the equation  $(r^2 - s^2)^x + (2rs)^y = (r^2 + s^2)^z$  has the only solution (x, y, z) = (2,2,2).

Key words and phrases: Exponential diophantine equation; Jeśmanowicz' conjecture; Baker's method.

1. Introduction. Let Z, N, Q be the sets of integers, positive integers and rational numbers, respectively. Let (a, b, c) be a primitive Pythagorean triple such that

(1) 
$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2$$
,  $a, b, c \in N$ ,  $gcd(a, b, c) = 1, 2 | b$ .

Then we have, as is well known, (2)  $a = r^2 - s^2$ , b = 2rs,  $c = r^2 + s^3$ , where r, s are positive integers satisfying r > s, gcd(r, s) = 1 and  $2 \mid rs$ . In [2], Jeśmanowicz conjectured that the only solution of the equation

 $a^x + b^y = c^z$ ,  $x, y, z \in N$ (3)is (x, y, z) = (2,2,2). This conjecture was proved for some special cases (see the references of [4]). But, in general, the problem is not solved as yet. Recently, Takakuwa and Asaeda [6] proved that if 2 | r, s = 3 and r satisfies some other conditions, then the only solution of (3) is (x, y, z) = (2,2,2). Guo and Le [1] showed that the conditions on r can be reduced to  $r \ge 6000$ , improving the result of [6]. In this paper we prove a general result as follows:

**Theorem.** If  $2 \parallel r$ ,  $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $r \ge$ 81s, then the only solution of (3) is (x, y, z) =(2,2,2).

By this theorem, the above condition  $r \ge$ 6000 in the result of [1] can be replaced by  $r \ge$ 243.

2. Preliminaries. Lemma 1. ([5, page 2]). The equation

$$X^4 + Y^2 = Z^4, X, Y, Z \in N$$

has no solution (X, Y, Z).

**Lemma 2.** ([1, Lemma 2]). Let (x, y, z) be a solution of (3) with  $(x, y, z) \neq (2,2,2)$ . If  $2 \mid r$ and  $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then we have  $2 \mid x, y = 1$ and  $2 \nmid z$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a nonzero algebraic number with the defining polynomial  $a_0z^n + a_1z^{n-1} + \cdots +$  $a_n = a_0(z - \sigma_1 \alpha) \cdot \cdot \cdot (z - \sigma_n \alpha)$ , where  $a_0 \in N$ ,  $\sigma_1 \alpha, \cdots, \sigma_n \alpha$  are all the conjugates of  $\alpha$ . Then

$$h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \Big( \log a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \max(1, |\delta_i \alpha|) \Big)$$

is called Weil's height of  $\alpha$ 

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  be positive real algebraic numbers which are multiplicatively independent. Further let  $D = [Q(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : Q]$  and  $\log A_i$  $= \max(h(\alpha_i), |\log \alpha_i|/D, 1/D)$  for j = 1,2. Let  $\Lambda = b_1 \log \alpha_1 - b_2 \log \alpha_2$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2 \in N$ . Then we have

$$\log |\Lambda| \ge -32.31 D^{4} (\log A_{1}) (\log A_{2}) \cdot \left( \max \left( \frac{10}{D}, 0.18 + \log B \right) \right)^{2},$$

where  $B = b_1/D \log A_2 + b_2/D \log A_1$ .

Proof. Letting  $h_2 = 10$  in the Table 2 in [3], we obtain this lemma immediately in the same way as Corollary 2 of [3].

3. Proof of theorem. We now assume that r and s satisfy  $2 \parallel r$ ,  $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $r \geq 81s$ . Let (x, y, z) be a solution of (3) with (x, y, z) $\neq$  (2,2,2). Then, by Lemma 2, we have

 $a^{x} + b = c^{z}, 2 \mid x, 2 \nmid z.$ (4)

Further, by the proof of [1, Theorem], we have z < x.

Since  $c = a + 2s^2$ , we get from (2) that  $\log c = \log a + \rho_1,$ 

where 
$$\rho_1$$
 satisfies
$$(6) \qquad 0 < \rho_1 = \frac{2s^2}{r^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k+1} \left(\frac{s^2}{r^2}\right)^{2k}$$

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D61,

<sup>\*\*</sup> Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Guangdong Provincial Natural Science Foundation.