

## 80. On the Starlikeness of the Bernardi Integral Operator

By Rosihan M. ALI<sup>\*)</sup> and D. K. THOMAS<sup>\*\*)</sup>

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1991)

**Abstract:** Denote by  $A$  the class of functions  $f$  analytic in the unit disc  $D$  and normalised so that  $f(0)=f'(0)-1=0$ . For  $f \in A$  and  $-1 < c \leq 0$ , let  $F_c$  be defined by  $F_c(z) = \frac{(1+c)}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt$  for  $z \in D$ . We find estimates on  $\beta$  so that  $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > \beta$  will ensure the starlikeness of  $F_c$ .

**Introduction.** Denote by  $A$  the class of functions  $f$  which are analytic in the unit disc  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and normalised so that  $f(0)=f'(0)-1=0$ . Let  $R$  be the subclass of  $A$  satisfying  $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$  for  $z \in D$  and  $S^*$  be the subset of starlike functions, i.e.

$$S^* = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0 \text{ for } z \in D \right\}.$$

If  $S$  denotes the subset of  $A$  consisting of univalent functions, then it is well known that  $R \subset S$  and  $S^* \subset S$ . Krzyz [3] gave an example to show that  $R$  is not a subset of  $S^*$ . On the other hand, Singh and Singh [6] showed that  $f \in R$  would imply  $F_0 \in S^*$ , where

$$F_0(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt.$$

In a later paper, Singh and Singh [7] showed that  $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > -\frac{1}{4}$  is sufficient to ensure  $F_0 \in S^*$  and more recently [5] it was shown that  $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > -0.262$  implies the same.

Suppose that  $f \in A$  and  $c > -1$ . For  $z \in D$ , the Bernardi operator [1] is defined by

$$(1) \quad F_c(z) = \frac{1+c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt.$$

It was shown in [5] that  $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > \beta$  implies  $F_c \in S^*$  provided

$$(2) \quad (1+c)\beta > \frac{\log(4/e)}{6} \left( c^2 \tan^2 \frac{\alpha^* \pi}{2} - 3 \right)$$

where  $1 = \alpha^* + (2/\pi) \tan^{-1} \alpha^*$ . We note that when  $c=0$ ,  $\beta = -0.193$  which is not as good an estimate as the constant  $-0.262$ . If  $c=1$ , then  $\beta = -0.017$ , which was also obtained in [4].

In this paper we shall improve the constant  $\beta$  in (2) for  $-1 < c \leq 0$ .

**Results. Theorem.** Suppose  $f \in A$  and  $F_c$  be given by (1) and that

<sup>\*)</sup> School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Wales, United Kingdom.