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In this note, we will calculate the commutator relations in Kac-Moody groups over commutative rings. The commutator relations have been discussed already in Tits [4]. Our approach is more elementary and more explicit.

1. Chevalley systems. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ generalized Cartan matrix, $g$ the associated Kac-Moody algebra over $C$, being generated by the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{G}$ and the Chevalley generators $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}, f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n}$, and $\Delta$ the root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ with the standard fundamental system $\Pi=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$. Then we obtain the root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\oplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$ with $\mathrm{g}^{0}=\mathfrak{h}, \mathrm{g}^{\alpha_{i}}=C e_{i}$ and $\mathrm{g}^{-\alpha_{i}}=\boldsymbol{C} f_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$. The Chevalley involution $\omega$ is defined to be the involutive automorphism of $g$ given by $\omega\left(e_{i}\right)=-f_{i}, \omega\left(f_{i}\right)=-e_{i}, \omega(h)=-h$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. By the definition, $\omega\left(\mathrm{g}^{\alpha}\right)=\mathrm{g}^{-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ (cf. [3]).

For each $\alpha \in \Delta^{r e}$, the set of real roots, a pair $\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}\right) \in \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha} \times \mathfrak{g}^{-a}$ is called a Chevalley pair for $\alpha$ if $\left[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}\right]=h_{\alpha}$ and $\omega\left(e_{\alpha}\right)+e_{-\alpha}=0$, where $h_{\alpha}$ is the coroot of $\alpha$. There are precisely two Chevalley pairs for each $\alpha \in \Delta^{r e}$. If one is $\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}\right)$, then $\left(-e_{\alpha},-e_{-\alpha}\right)$ is the other. We choose and fix a Chevalley pair for each positive real root $\alpha$ with $e_{\alpha_{i}}=e_{i}, e_{-\alpha_{i}}=f_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then the set $C=\left\{e_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta^{r e}\right\}$ is called a Chevalley system for $\Delta^{r e}$. Notice that $C \cup$ $\left\{h_{\alpha_{1}}, \cdots, h_{\alpha_{n}}\right\}$ is a Chevalley basis of $g$ if $A$ is of finite type (cf. [1], [2]).

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^{r e}$. If $\alpha+\beta \in \Delta^{r e}$, then we define the number $N_{\alpha \beta}$ by [ $e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}$ ] $=N_{\alpha \beta} e_{\alpha+\beta}$. Then we obtain the following result, which is useful for computing the commutator relations.

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^{r e}$ with $\alpha+\beta \in \Delta^{r e}$, and let $\beta-p \alpha, \cdots, \beta, \cdots, \beta$ $+q \alpha\left(p, q \in Z_{20}\right)$ be the $\alpha$-string through $\beta$. Then $N_{\alpha \beta}= \pm(p+1)$. In particular, $N_{\alpha \beta} \in Z$.

Proof. We can assume $n=2$, hence $A$ is symmetrizable. We fix a symmetric bilinear form ( $\cdot, \cdot$ ) on $\mathfrak{h}^{*}$ induced by $A$ and having the property $\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)>0$. Then we see

$$
N_{\alpha \beta}^{2}=(p+1)\left\{(p+1)-\left(2 \frac{(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}+1\right)\left(1-q \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\beta, \beta)}\right)\right\}
$$

(cf. [2]). If $(\alpha, \alpha) \geq(\beta, \beta)$ and $(\beta, \alpha)<0$, then $\beta+i \alpha \in \Delta^{r e}(-p \leq i \leq q)$, and $p=0,1$, hence $2(\beta, \alpha) /(\alpha, \alpha)=-1$. If $(\alpha, \alpha) \geq(\beta, \beta)$ and $(\beta, \alpha) \geq 0$, then $q=1$ and $(\alpha+\beta, \alpha+\beta)>(\alpha, \alpha)=(\beta, \beta)$. If $(\alpha, \alpha)<(\beta, \beta)$, then $(\alpha, \beta)<0$ and $p=0$, hence $(\beta, \beta) /(\alpha, \alpha)=q$. Therefore, in any case, we obtain $N_{\alpha \beta}^{2}=(p+1)^{2}$ and $N_{\alpha \beta}= \pm(p+1)$.
2. Commutator relations. Let $G(R)$ be a Kac-Moody group over a

