61. On the Numerically Fixed Parts of Line Bundles

By Katsumi MATSUDA Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1985)

The purpose of this paper is to study the base loci of line bundles. Details will appear elsewhere.

By V we denote a non-singular projective variety defined over an algebraically closed field k. For a line bundle L on V, we have the base locus Bs |L| of the complete linear system and the stable base locus SBs $(L) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} Bs |mL|$ (Fujita [1]). In this paper, by $\kappa_{num}(L, V) \ge 0$, we mean that there exist a birational morphism $f: W \to V$, a positive integer m and a nef line bundle S on W such that $H^0(W, mf^*L-S) \ne 0$.

§0. Pseudo-effectivity. Let K stand for a field Q or R. A K-1cycle on V is an element of $Z_1(V) \otimes_Z K$, where $Z_1(V)$ is a free abelian group generated by irreducible curves on V. A K-1-cycle C is said to be *nef* if $(D, C) \ge 0$ for any irreducible divisor D on V. A K-line bundle L is said to be *pseudo-effective* if $(L, C) \ge 0$ for any K-1-cycle C on V.

Proposition 0. For any Q-line bundle L on V, the following conditions are equivalent to each other:

(1) L is pseudo-effective.

(2) For any ample line bundle A on V, and for any integer $n \ge 1$, we have $\kappa(A+nL, V) \ge 0$.

§1. The numerical base locus of L. We shall introduce the set NBs (L), which may be a numerical analog of SBs (L).

Proposition 1. Let L be a Q-line bundle and let A an ample Q-line bundle. Then

(1) SBs $(A+nL) \subset$ SBs (A+(n+1)L).

(2) $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} SBs(A+nL)$ does not depend on the choice of A, depending only on L.

Proof. (1) We take a sufficiently large m. Then mA is very ample and

$$SBs (A+nL) = Bs |m(n-1)(A+nL)| \supset Bs |mA+m(n-1)(A+nL)|$$

=Bs |nm(A+(n-1)L)|=SBs (A+(n-1)L).

(2) Given two ample Q-line bundles A_1 and A_2 , we choose $p \gg 0$ such that $pA_2 - A_1$ is very ample. For any $n \ge 1$ and a sufficiently large $m \ge 1$, we have

$$SBs (A_1 + pnL) = Bs |m(A_1 + pnL)| \supset Bs |m(pA_2 - A_1) + m(A_1 + pnL)| = Bs |mp(A_2 + nL)| = SBs (A_2 + nL).$$

By this,