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An elliptic surface [3] is a complex surface $M$ with a holomorphic map $\pi$ of $M$ onto a Riemann surface $S$ such that the inverse image $\pi^{-1}(p)$ of any general point $p$ is an elliptic curve. Matsumoto [4] [5] proved that the diffeomorphism type of $M$ is completely determined by its euler number $e(M)$ and the genus of $S$ if $M$ contains no multiple fiber. (The case when the genus of $S$ is 0 was proved by Kas [2] and Moishezon [6].) The case when $M$ has multiple fibers is more difficult and actually there are examples with exotic smooth structures (Dolgacev surfaces) as was proved by Donaldson [1], Morgan, and Friedman. However we can show that in many cases the diffeomorphism types of the elliptic surfaces are completely determined by their euler numbers and their fundamental groups. By Moishezon [6] we may assume that every singular fiber of the elliptic surfaces with which we are concerned is either a multiple torus ${ }_{m} I_{0}$ or a fiber of type $I_{1}$ ([3]). Let $\pi: M \rightarrow S$ be such an elliptic surface. We can consider S as a 2-orbifold such that every point $p_{i}$ which is the image by $\pi$ of a multiple torus of multiplicity $m_{i}$ is a cone point of cone angle $2 \pi / m_{i}$ $(i=1, \cdots, k)$. Then we have:

Theorem. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow S$ and $\pi^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ be the relatively minimal elliptic surfaces. Suppose that $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are either euclidean or hyperbolic. Then $M$ is diffeomorphic to $M^{\prime}$ if and only if $e(M)=e\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and $\pi_{1} M \cong \pi_{1} M^{\prime}$.

This theorem is divided into the following two cases.
Case 1. $e(M)\left(e\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right)>0$. This implies that $M\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ contains at least one singular fiber other than a multiple torus. In this case Theorem also holds when $S\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is spherical with 3 cone points and is derived from:

Claim A. If $S$ is isomorphic to $S^{\prime}$ as 2-orbifolds, then $M$ is diffeomorphic to $M^{\prime}$ if and only if $e(M)=e\left(M^{\prime}\right)$.

Claim B. If $S$ is not isomorphic to $S^{\prime}$, then $\pi_{1} M \neq \pi_{1} M^{\prime}$.
Case 2. $e(M)=e\left(M^{\prime}\right)=0$. In this case every singular fiber of $M\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ is a multiple torus. $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are considered as 4-dimensional Seifert fiberings studied by Thornton [8] and Zieshang [9]. Theorem in this case was proved by Zieshang [9] if $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are hyperbolic, and was proved by Sakamoto-Fukuhara [7] if $S=S^{\prime}=T^{2}$. In the other cases we can see that $\pi_{1} M \cong \pi_{1} M^{\prime}$ implies that there is a diffeomorphism between $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ (not necessarily fiber-preserving). We can also see that there are seven examples each of which admits both the structure of a $T^{2}$-bundle over $T^{2}$ and

