82. "Borel" Lines for Meromorphic Solutions of the Difference Equation $y(x+1)=y(x)+1+\lambda/y(x)$

By Niro Yanagihara

Department of Mathematics, Chiba University

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1981)

1. Introduction. In connection with the iteration of analytic functions, Kimura [1], [2] considered the equation

(E) $y(x+1)=y(x)+1+\lambda/y(x), \quad \lambda \neq 0$, and obtained a meromorphic solution $\phi(x)$ such that

$$\left(\phi(x) \sim x \left[1 + \sum_{j+k \ge 1} p_{jk} x^{-j} \left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right)^k\right] \qquad (p_{01} = \lambda)$$

(1.1) $\left| \begin{array}{c} \text{in the domain } D_{l}(R,\varepsilon) = \left\{ |x| > R, |\arg x - \pi| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon \right\} \cup \left\{ \operatorname{Im} [xe^{-i\varepsilon}] \right\} \right.$

 $>R \} \cup \{ \operatorname{Im} [xe^{i\epsilon}] < -R \}, \text{ where } p_{10} = c \text{ is an arbitrarily prescribed constant, } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ and } R \text{ is a sufficiently large number depending on } c \text{ and } \varepsilon.$

We studied some properties of the solution $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ in [3] and, especially, proved that there is a horizontal line $L = \{ \text{Im } x = \eta \}$ such that, for any $\delta > 0$, in the half strip

(1.2) $\{x; |\text{Im } x-\eta| < \delta, \text{ Re } x > 0\},\ \phi(x) \text{ takes every value infinitely often if } \lambda \neq 1, \text{ and } \phi(x) \text{ takes every value other than } -1 \text{ if } \lambda = 1.$

We will call such a line as a "Borel" line for $\phi(x)$ [4]. It would be natural to inquire how many "Borel" lines may appear for $\phi(x)$.

Our aim in this note is to answer (partially) to this question. We will prove the following

Theorem. Suppose λ is real in the equation (E).

(i) If $\lambda \leq 1/4$, then there is only one "Borel" line for $\phi(x)$.

(ii) If $\lambda > 1/4$, then there are at least two "Borel" lines for $\phi(x)$.

2. Proof of Theorem (i). Let x_0 be a zero point of $\phi(x): \phi(x_0) = 0$. Write $x_n = x_0 - n$, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$. Then $\phi(x_1)$ satisfies $0 = \phi(x_1) + 1 + \lambda/\phi(x_1)$, i.e.,

(2.1)
$$\phi(x_i) = \frac{1}{2} [-1 \pm \sqrt{1-4\lambda}].$$

More generally

(2.2)
$$\phi(x_n) = \frac{1}{2} [-(1-\phi(x_{n-1})\pm\sqrt{(1-\phi(x_{n-1}))^2-4\lambda}], \quad n=1, 2, \cdots.$$