

55. A Remark on the Completeness of the Bergman Metric

By Takeo OHSAWA^{*)}

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences,
Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., April 13, 1981)

§ 1. Introduction. The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem by modifying the argument in a recent work of P. Pflug (cf. [4]).

Theorem 1. *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n with a C^1 -smooth boundary. Then D is complete with respect to*

$$d_D := \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\partial^2 \log K(z; D)}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} dz^\alpha d\bar{z}^\beta.$$

Here we put $z = (z^1, \dots, z^n)$ and denote by $K(z; D)$ the Bergman kernel function of D .

The metric d_D was first introduced by S. Bergman [1], and S. Kobayashi [2] asked "Which bounded domain (of holomorphy) in \mathbb{C}^n is complete with respect to d_D ?"

The author is grateful to Prof. P. Pflug who informed him of his very interesting result.

§ 2. Preliminaries. We put

$$K(z, w; D) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(z) \overline{f_i(w)},$$

where $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_h^2(D) := \{f; \text{holomorphic, square integrable on } D\}$.

Lemma 1 (cf. Lemma 3 in [4, IV]). *Assume the sequence $\{z_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \subset D$ to be a Cauchy-sequence with respect to d_D . Then there exist a subsequence $\{z_{\nu(u)}\}_{u=1}^{\infty}$ and real numbers θ_u such that the sequence*

$$\left\{ \frac{K(\cdot, z_{\nu(u)}; D)}{K(z_{\nu(u)}, z_{\nu(u)}; D)^{1/2}} e^{i\theta_u} \right\}_{u=1}^{\infty}$$

is a Cauchy-sequence in $L_h^2(D)$ whose members are all of modulus one.

From Lemma 1 we can deduce the following

Lemma 2. *Assume that d_D is not complete. Then there is a sequence $\{z_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \subset D$ converging to a point $z^* \in \partial D$, such that*

$$\lim_{\min \{\nu, \mu\} \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{|K(z_\nu, z_\mu; D)|}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; D)^{1/2} K(z_\mu, z_\mu; D)^{1/2}} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. We only have to note that

$$\left(\frac{K(\cdot, z_\nu; D)}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; D)^{1/2}}, \frac{K(\cdot, z_\mu; D)}{K(z_\mu, z_\mu; D)^{1/2}} \right) = \frac{K(z_\mu, z_\nu; D)}{K(z_\nu, z_\nu; D)^{1/2} K(z_\mu, z_\mu; D)^{1/2}}.$$

^{*)} The author was supported by Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation.