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This is a continuation of [4], and here we report on our result on the image of the period map for Enriques surfaces.

Let $S$ be an Enriques surface defined over the field of complex numbers. Then there corresponds to $S$ a point $\lambda(S)$, called the period of $S$, which is in the period space $D / \Gamma$. First we recall the construction of $D$ and $\Gamma$. Let $T$ be the universal covering of $S$. Then $T$ is a $K 3$ surface, and hence the homology group $H_{2}(T, Z)$, given with the intersection product, is isomorphic to a unique even unimodular euclidean lattice $\Lambda$ of signature (3, 19). Moreover, if we associate the involution $\tau$ induced by the covering transformation, the pair $\left(H_{2}(T, Z), \tau\right)$ is isomorphic to a standard pair $(\Lambda, \rho)$ (see [4], § 3). Let $\Lambda(-1)$ denote the ( -1 )-eigenspace of $\rho$. Then $D$ consists of non-zero linear maps $\omega: \Lambda(-1) \rightarrow C$, modulo multiplications by constants, which satisfy the Riemann bilinear relations

$$
\omega \cdot \omega=0, \quad \omega \cdot \bar{\omega}>0,
$$

the product being induced by that on $\Lambda(-1)$. On the other hand, $\Gamma$ is the group of those automorphisms of $\Lambda(-1)$ which are the restrictions of the automorphisms of $\Lambda$ commuting with $\rho$.

An element $e$ of $\Lambda(-1)$ is called a root if it satisfies $e^{2}=-2$. From the explicit description of $\Lambda(-1)$ in [4], we infer that such elements exist. If $e$ is a root, we define a hypersurface $H_{e}$ of $D$ by the condition $\omega(e)=0$. We shall use $H_{e} / \Gamma$ to denote $H_{e} \Gamma / \Gamma$.

Main Theorem. There exists only a finite number of $\Gamma$-equivalence classes of the roots $e$ in $\Lambda(-1)$, and if $\lambda$ is a point of $D / \Gamma$ outside of the union of the hypersurfaces $H_{e} / \Gamma$, then $\lambda$ is the period of an Enriques surface $S$, which is uniquely determined by $\lambda$. Moreover, any point of $H_{e} / \Gamma$ is not the period of an Enriques surface.

The basic idea of the proof is that of [3].
First, by the construction in [4], each Enriques surface $S$ is birationally equivalent to a double covering of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1} \times \boldsymbol{P}^{1}$. We take a system of 2-way homogeneous coordinates ( $Y_{1}, Y_{2} ; Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ ) and fix the projection onto the second factor. Then the branch locus of the covering consists of the two fibres $\Gamma_{i}$ defined by $Z_{i}=0, i=1,2$, and a curve $B_{E}^{0}$ of bidegree (4, 4), which has two 2-fold double points at $P_{i}$ on $\Gamma_{i}$, having the contact of order 4 with $\Gamma_{i}$ at $P_{i}, i=1,2$. An Enriques surface $S$, with an elliptic

