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3. Probability a posteriori of a father against a mother-child combination.

A new essential problem, being characteristic with respect to mother-child-child combination, will arise; i.e., given a mother-child-child combination, at how much rate a father of first child can assert his non-paternity against second child? In the problem discussed in § 1, the whole of men except a father of second child having been taken into account against a given mother-child-child combination, the relation to first child has not been directly necessary to be considered, and hence the use has been made of the quantities (1.1) consisting of the $V$ 's concerning general distributionfrequencies. In the present problem, however, the object in question being restricted to a father of first child, the possible types of him are limited according to mother-child-child combinations, and hence the $V$ 's in (1.1) must be replaced by probabilities a posteriori of a father for combinations of mother and her first child.

The probabilities a posteriori in question can be estimated by means of Bayes' theorem on probability of causes referred to at the end of § 1 in IV. In fact, we may take, as probability a priori, the frequency of general distribution. On the other hand, the probability of an event that a father produce a child of each type with a mother of given type has been listed in a table in § 3 of I, a remark stated immediately subsequent to (1.8) of IV being here also to be remembered.

Now, in general, given a pair $\left(A_{i j} ; A_{h k}\right)$ of a mother and her child, the probability a posteriori of a father to be of type $A_{a b}$ be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(a b, i j ; h k), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will be explicitly determined in the following lines. Of course, only the cases are essential where at least a suffix among $h, k$ coincides with $a$ or $b$ and with $i$ or $j$; otherwise, the quantity (3.1) may by understood to be equal to zero.

We first consider a mother-child combination consisting of the

