

## 172. On the Uniqueness of the Cauchy Problem for Semi-elliptic Partial Differential Equations. II

By Akira TSUTSUMI

University of Osaka Prefecture

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1963)

**5. Proof of Theorem 1.** At first we note that once (2.1), (4.1), and (4) in Lemma 2 are established Theorem 1 can be proved by arguments parallel with them in [1]. Let  $V(N^0)$  and  $U(0)$  be neighborhoods of  $N^0$  and  $x=0$  respectively in which both (2.1) and (4.1) are verified. For any  $N_g \in V(N^0)$  and  $x_g \in U(0)$  fixed, and  $u_g \in C_0^\infty(U(0))$ , multiplying  $\hat{u}_g(\xi + i\tau N_g)$ , which is a translation of Fourier transform of  $u_g$ , the both sides of (2.1) and (4.1), and applying Parseval formula, we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{1-\frac{1}{m_j}} \right) \int |D^\alpha u_g|^2 \exp(2\tau \langle x, N_g \rangle) dx \\ \leq C \int \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |P_0^{(j)}(x_g, D)u_g|^2 + |u_g|^2 \exp(2\tau \langle x, N_g \rangle) \right\} dx$$

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{(j)} \int |D^\alpha u_g|^2 \exp(2\tau \langle x, N_g \rangle) dx \leq D \int \left\{ |P_0(x_g, D)u_g|^2 \right. \\ \left. + |\tau|^2 |N_g|^2 |P_0^{(1)}(x_g, D)u_g|^2 \exp(2\tau \langle x, N_g \rangle) \right\} dx,^*)$$

where  $\langle x, N_g \rangle$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j N_{gj}$ .

To replace the weight function  $\exp(\langle x, N_g \rangle)$  by  $\exp(\varphi_\delta(x))$  in the above, we use a partition of unity designed by Hörmander so that in each corresponding neighborhood  $\varphi_\delta(x)$  is almost equal to a linear function. That is:

$$\omega(x) \in C_0^\infty(x; \forall i, |x_i| < 1), \quad \omega(x) \neq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \left( x; \forall i, |x_i| \leq \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n); \quad g_i \text{'s vary in all integers,} \\ \theta(x) = \frac{\omega(x)}{\sum_g \omega(x-g)}, \quad \theta_g(x) = \theta(x_1 - g_1, x_2 - g_2, \dots, x_n - g_n),$$

and for  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $u(x) = \sum_g \theta_g(x)u(x)$ .

On a support of  $\theta_g(x)$ ,  $\varphi_\delta(x) \leq \varphi_\delta(x_g) + \langle x - x_g, N_g \rangle \leq \varphi_\delta(x) + n\tau^{-1}$  holds where  $N_g$  equals to  $\text{grad } \varphi_\delta(x_g)$ . Then for  $\tau > \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $C_1 = \exp(2n)C$ ,  $D_1 = \exp(2n)D$ , we get

---

\*) So far as we can avoid confusion, we use the same letters  $D$ ,  $C$ , etc. for other constants.