## 8. A Remark on Regular Semigroups

## By S. LAJOS

L. Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary (Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1961)

A semigroup is a non-empty set which is closed with respect to an associative binary multiplication. A left ideal L of S is a non-empty subset of S such that  $SL \subset L$ . A right ideal R of S is a non-empty subset of S such that  $RS \subset R$ . A two-sided ideal or ideal of S is a subset which is both a left and a right ideal. If S is an element of the semigroup S, S, S denotes the smallest left ideal of S containing S. A left ideal S of S is called principal if and only if S if S is called principal right ideal S and principal two-sided ideal S.

The concept of regular ring was introduced by J. von Neumann [5] as follows: an arbitrary (associative) ring A is called regular if to any element a of A there exists an x in A such that axa=a. The concept of regular semigroup is defined analogously (see e.g. [1]). L. Kovács [3] characterized the regular rings as rings satisfying the property:

$$R \cap L = RL$$

for every right ideal R and every left ideal L of A. K. Iséki [2] extended this characterization to semigroups. In this connection we prove the following

**Theorem 1.** To any semigroup S the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) S is regular,
- 2)  $R \cap L = RL$ , for every right ideal R and every left ideal L of S,
  - 3)  $(a)_R \cap (b)_L = (a)_R(b)_L$ , for every pair of elements a, b in S,
  - 4)  $(a)_R \cap (a)_L = (a)_R (a)_L$ , for every element a of S.

Following J. A. Green [1] we shall say that an element a of a semigroup S is regular if and only if there exists  $x \in S$  so that axa = a. First we prove that an element a of a semigroup S is regular if and only if the condition 4) of Theorem 1 holds. Let a be regular. Then by Lemma 3 of [4],  $(a)_L = (e)_L$  and  $(a)_R = (f)_R$ , where e, f are idempotent elements. Let u be an element of  $(a)_R \cap (a)_L = fS \cap Se$ . Then u = fs = s'e. This implies that  $u = fu = fs'e = ffs'e \in (fS)(Se) = (a)_R \cdot (a)_L$ , therefore

$$(a)_R \cap (a)_L \subseteq (a)_R(a)_L$$
.

The converse is trivial, that is the condition 4) holds.

Conversely, let us suppose that condition 4) holds. Clearly