## 153. An Integral of the Denjoy Type

Yôto KUBOTA

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido Gakugei University (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1964)

1. Introduction. In the present paper, we shall consider an integral of the Denjoy type whose indefinite integral is approximately continuous. H. W. Ellis [2] has introduced the GM-integral descriptively. Defining our integral we use his method, which is essentially based on the procedure introduced by S. Saks [3] and W. L. C. Sargent [4]. It will be proved that our integral is more general than Burkill's approximately continuous Perron integral [1].

2. A finite function f(x) is said to be  $\underline{AC}$  on a set E if to each positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sum \{f(b_k) - f(a_k)\} > -\varepsilon$$

for all finite non-overlapping sequences of intervals  $\{(a_k, b_k)\}$  with end points on E and such that  $\sum (b_k - a_k) < \delta$ . There is a corresponding definition  $\overline{AC}$  on E. If the set E is the sum of a countable number of sets  $E_k$  on each of which f(x) is  $\underline{AC}$  then f(x) is termed  $\underline{ACG}$  on E. If the sets  $E_k$  are assumed to be closed, then f(x) is said to be  $(\underline{ACG})$  on E. Similarly we can define  $\overline{ACG}$  and  $(\overline{ACG})$  on E. A function is said to be (ACG) on E if it is both (ACG) and  $(\overline{ACG})$  on E.

Lemma 1. If F(x) is <u>AC</u> and AD  $F(x) \ge 0$  almost everywhere on [a, b] then F(x) is non-decreasing on [a, b].

Proof. Since F(x) is <u>AC</u> on [a, b], for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sum \{F(b_k) - F(a_k)\} > -\varepsilon$$

for all finite non-overlapping sequences of intervals  $\{(a_k, b_k)\}$  with  $\sum (b_k - a_k) < \delta$ .

If we put  $E = \{x: AD \ F(x) \ge 0\}$  then |E| = b - a. For any  $x \in E$  there exists a positive sequence  $h_k$  such that

$$\frac{F(x+h_k)-F(x)}{h_k} > -\varepsilon, \ (k=1, 2, \cdots)$$

and  $h_k \rightarrow 0$ . Let M be the family of the sets of closed intervals  $[x, x+h_k]$   $(k=1, 2, \cdots)$  for all  $x \in E$ , then E is covered by M in the sense of Vitali. Hence we can select a finite sequence of non-overlapping intervals in M

 $[x_1, x_1'], [x_2, x_2'], \cdots, [x_m, x_m']$ 

such that