

121. On the Gibbs Phenomenon for $(K, 1)$ Means

By Kazuo ISHIGURO

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Sept. 13, 1965)

§ 1. Zygmund [9] introduced the following method of summability which is similar to the *Lebesgue method* $(R, 1)^{1)}$: When a series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$$

is given, if

(i) the series

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \int_h^{\pi} \frac{\sin nt}{2 \tan \frac{1}{2} t} dt$$

converges for small positive h , and if

(ii) the limit of

$$u_0 + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \int_h^{\pi} \frac{\sin nt}{2 \tan \frac{1}{2} t} dt$$

for $h \rightarrow +0$ exists and equals s . then he calls that the series (1) is *summable* $(K, 1)$ to s .

The convergence of (1) need not imply its summability $(K, 1)$ as well as in the case of the method $(R, 1)$. We shall study, in this note, the Gibbs phenomenon of the Fourier series

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n}$$

for the $(K, 1)$ means. Ching-Hsi Lee [5] proved the following

Theorem 1. *The $(R, 1)$ means of the series (2) does not present the Gibbs phenomenon at $x=0$.*

We shall prove here the following

Theorem 2. *The $(K, 1)$ means of the series (2) does not present the Gibbs phenomenon at $x=0$.*

Proof. Let

1) We say that the series (1) is summable $(R, 1)$ to s , if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \frac{\sin nh}{nh}$ converges for small positive h , and if $\lim_{h \rightarrow +0} \left\{ u_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \frac{\sin nh}{nh} \right\} = s$. See, e.g., Hardy [1], p. 89, Zeller [8], p. 158.