

# 158. An Algebraic Formulation of *K-N* Propositional Calculus. III

By Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1967)

In his paper [1], K. Iséki defined the *KN-algebra*. For the details of the *KN-algebra*, see [1]. The conditions of the *KN-algebra* are as follows:

$$1) \quad \sim(p * p) * p = 0.$$

$$2) \quad \sim p * (q * p) = 0.$$

$$3) \quad \sim \sim (\sim \sim (p * r) * \sim (r * q)) * \sim (\sim q * p) = 0.$$

4) Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be expressions in this system, then  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\sim \sim \beta * \sim \alpha = 0$  imply  $\beta = 0$ . For the details of *K-N* propositional calculus, see [2]-[4].

In my paper [5], having shown that the *KN-algebra* is characterized by 1), 3), 4), and  $p * (\sim p * q) = 0$ , I do not prove that  $p * (\sim p * q) = 0$  holds in the *KN-algebra*.

In this paper, we shall show that the *KN-algebra* implies the following theses:

$$2') \quad p * (\sim p * q) = 0,$$

$$2'') \quad p * (q * \sim p) = 0.$$

In 3), put  $p = \beta, q = \alpha, r = \gamma$ , then by 4), we have

A)  $\sim \alpha * \beta = 0$  implies  $\sim \sim (\beta * \gamma) * \sim (\gamma * \alpha) = 0$ . Then we have the following:

$$B) \quad \sim \alpha * \beta = 0, \gamma * \alpha = 0 \text{ imply } \beta * \gamma = 0.$$

In B), put  $\alpha = p * p, \beta = p, \gamma = \sim p$ , then by 1) and 2) we have

$$5) \quad p * \sim p = 0.$$

In A), put  $\alpha = p, \beta = q * p, \gamma = r$ , then by 2) we have

$$6) \quad \sim \sim ((q * p) * r) * \sim (r * p) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the *KN-algebra* contains the following (For the details, see [1]).

$$7) \quad \sim p * p = 0.$$

In 3), put  $p = \alpha, q = \alpha, r = \beta$ , then by 7) we have

$$8) \quad \sim \sim (\alpha * \beta) * \sim (\beta * \alpha) = 0, \text{ i.e., } \beta * \alpha = 0 \text{ implies } \alpha * \beta = 0.$$

In 6), put  $p = \sim p, r = p$ , then by 5) we have

$$9) \quad (q * \sim p) * p = 0.$$

In 8), put  $\beta = q * \sim p, \alpha = p$ , then by 9) we have

$$10) \quad p * (q * \sim p) = 0.$$

We shall use the following thesis which has been obtained in his paper [1].