169. On Lacunary Trigonometric Series. II

By Shigeru TAKAHASHI

Department of Mathematics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1968)

§1. Introduction. In [3] we have proved

Theorem A. Let $\{n_k\}$ be a sequence of positive integers and $\{a_k\}$ a sequence of non-negative real numbers for which the conditions

(1.1) $n_{k+1} > n_k (1 + ck^{-\alpha}), \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots,$

(1.2)
$$A_N = (2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2)^{1/2} \to +\infty, \quad as \ N \to +\infty,$$

and

(1.3)
$$a_N = o(A_N N^{-\alpha}), \quad as \ N \to +\infty,$$

are satisfied, where c and α are any given constants such that

$$(1.4) c>0 and 0 \le \alpha \le 1/2.$$

Then we have, for all x,

(1.5)
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} |\{t; t \in E, \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k \cos 2\pi n_k (t + \alpha_k) \le x A_N\}| / |E|$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{0}^{x} \exp(-u^2/2) du,^{*}$$

where $E \subset [0, 1]$ is any given set of positive measure and $\{\alpha_k\}$ any given sequence of real numbers.

This theorem was first proved by R. Salem and A. Zygmund in case of $\alpha = 0$, where $\{n_k\}$ satisfies the so-called *Hadamard's gap* condition (cf. [4], (5.5), pp. 264–268). In that case they also remarked that under the hypothesis (1.2) the condition (1.3) is *necessary* for the validity of (1.5) (cf. [4], (5.27), pp. 268–269).

Further, in [2] P. Erdös has pointed out that for every positive constant c there exists a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}$ such that $n_{k+1} > n_k(1+ck^{-1/2}), k \ge 1$, and (1.5) is not true for $a_k=1, k\ge 1$, and E=[0, 1]. But I could not follow his argument on the example.

The purpose of the present note is to prove the following

Theorem B. For any given constants c > 0 and $0 \le \alpha \le 1/2$, there exist sequences of positive integers $\{n_k\}$ and non-negative real numbers $\{a_k\}$ for which the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and

(1.6)
$$a_N = O(A_N N^{-\alpha}), \quad as \ N \to +\infty,$$

are satisfied, but (1.5) is not true for E = [0, 1] and $\alpha_k = 0, k \ge 1$. The above theorem shows that in Theorem A the condition (1.3) is

^{*)} |E| denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set E.