No. 10]

## 222. Remark on Yokoi's Theorem Concerning the Basis of Algebraic Integers and Tame Ramification

## By Yoshimasa MIYATA

## (Comm. by Kenjiro SHODA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1968)

In this paper we shall prove a theorem (Theorem 1 in the following) which, the author thinks, is essentially a refinement of Yokoi's theorem (Theorem 2 of [2]). From it follows a characterization of tame ramification, which we shall state as Theorem 2.

**Theorem 1.** Let k be a finite algebraic number field and K/k be a cyclic extension of prime degree l. Let  $\circ$  and O be the rings of algebraic integers of k and K. Then we have the following basis  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$ ,  $z_m$   $(i=1, \dots, t, j=t+1, \dots, n, m=1, \dots, n (l-1))$  of O over the rational integer ring Z, i.e.:

such that  $x_1, \dots, x_l$ ,  $S_{K/k}y_{l+1,\dots}$ ,  $S_{K/k}y_n$  consist a basis of  $\circ$  over Zand  $S_{K/k}z_m = 0$  for  $1 \leq m \leq n(l-1)$ , where  $S_{K/k}$  denotes the relative trace of K to  $k^{*}$ .

Let *H* be the Galois group of K/k. We denote the group ring Z[H] of *H* over Z by  $\Lambda$ . Obiously  $\mathfrak{O}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module. We consider it as a representation module of *H* (accordingly of  $\Lambda$ ).

**Theorem 2.** Let K/k and  $\mathfrak{O}$  be as in Theorem 1. Then K/k is tamely ramified at every prime ideal of k if and only if no  $\Lambda$ -module on which H acts trivially appears as a direct summand of  $\mathfrak{O}$  (considered as  $\Lambda$ -module).

At first we state the following well known facts which are useful in the proof of the theorems; let H be a cyclic group of prime order l (for example, the Galois group of K/k stated in the above) and A=Z[H] be its group ring over Z (as before). Let h be a fixed generator of H and let  $\theta = \cos 2\pi/l + i \sin 2\pi/l$ , so that  $\theta$  is a primitive lth root of 1. Let  $R = Z[\theta]$ . As is shown in [1], there are three and only three classes of indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -modules, i.e. :

i) *H*-trivial modules, i.e., modules on which *H* acts trivially.

ii) Taking A to be a R-fractional ideal, we may turn A into a  $\Lambda$ -module by defining

## $ha = \theta a$ for $a \in A$ .

iii) Let y be an indeterminate and A be a R-fractional ideal. We

<sup>\*)</sup> We need not suppose that k and K are absolute Galois number fields, which is different from [2].