119.

By Jun-iti NAGATA

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh

A Note on M-Space and Topologically Complete Space

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1969)

In the previous paper [4] we have proved that every paracompact M-space with weight |A| (=the cardinality of the set A) is the perfect image of a closed subset of D(A) and a subset of N(A), where D(A) is Cantor discontinum (=the product of two points discrete spaces D_{α} , $\alpha \in A$), and N(A) is Baire's 0-dimensional space (=the product of countably many copies of the discrete space A), and also stated the following theorem without proof. (Throughout this paper we assume that A is an infinite set and that spaces are Hausdorff. As for terminologies and symbols in the present paper, see J. Nagata [3] and [4].)

Theorem 1. A space X with weight |A| is a paracompact M-space iff (=if and only if) it is homeomorphic to a closed subset of $S \times P(A)$, where S is a subspace of generalized Hilbert space H(A), and P(A) is the product of the copies I_{α} , $\alpha \in A$ of the unit interval [0, 1].

The purpose of the present paper is to give a proof of Theorem 1 and extend our study to paracompact, topologically complete spaces (in the sense of E. Čech), which form an important subclass of paracompact M-spaces.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since the sufficiency of the condition is obvious, we shall prove only the necessity. There is a perfect map (=mapping) from X onto a metric space Y with weight $\leq |A|$. Let $\{f_{\lambda} | \lambda \in A\}$ be a collection of continuous functions $|X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that for each point x of X and each nbd (=neighborhood) M of x, there is $\lambda \in A$ for which $f_{\lambda}(x)=1$, $f_{\lambda}(X-M)=0$.

Then we define a map $h \mid X \rightarrow Y \times P(A)$ by

 $h(X) = \varphi(x) \times (f_{\lambda}(x) | \lambda \in A), x \in X.$

It is obvious that h is one-to-one and continuous. It is also easy to show that h^{-1} is continuous. Hence h is a topological map. To show that h(X) is closed in $Y \times P(A)$, let $z = y \times (q_{\lambda} | \lambda \in A) \in Y \times P(A) - h(X)$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap [\bigcap_{\lambda \in A} f_{\lambda}^{-1}(q_{\lambda})] = \phi$, because otherwise for every point x in the nonempty intersection h(x) = z holds, and thus $z \in h(X)$. Since each $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(q_{\lambda})$ can be expressed as $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(q_{\lambda}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{\lambda}^{-1} \left(\left[q_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{n}, q_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{n} \right] \right)$ ([] denotes a closed interval.) and since $\varphi^{-1}(y)$ is compact, there are $\lambda_{1}, \dots, \lambda_{k} \in A$ and a