## 2. On the Axioms of the Theory of Lattice.

By Masatada Kobayasi.

Mathematical Seminary, Hokkaido Imperial University, Sapporo. (Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., Jan. 12, 1943.)

**1.** System  $\sum$ .

Suppose that there is a set S of elements, between each two of which two dualistic operations  $\cup$  and  $\cap$  are so defined that their results are unique and belong to S. If the two operations satisfy the following postulates:

L1.	Idempotent law:	(a)	$x \cup x = x$	for all $x$ ,
		(b)	$x \cap x = x$	for all x,
L 2.	Commutative law:	(a)	$x \cup y = y \cup x$	for all $x$ and $y$ ,
		(b)	$x \cap y = y \cap x$	for all $x$ and $y$ ,
L 3.	Associative law:	(a)	$x \cup (y \cup z) = (x$	$\cup y) \cup z$
				for all $x$ , $y$ and $z$ ,
		(b)	$x \cap (y \cap z) = (x$	$(\gamma y) \cap z$
				for all $x$ , $y$ and $z$ ,
L4.	Absorptive law:	(a)	$x \cup (x \cap y) = x$	for all $x$ and $y$ ,
		(b)	$x \cap (x \cup y) = x$	for all $x$ and $y$ ,

then the set S is called a lattice for the operations  $\cup$  and  $\cap^{1}$ .

G. Köthe and H. Hermes showed that if L4 is satisfied then L1 does so (Enzyklopädie Bd. I-1 Heft 5, 13 (1939), and they took L2-L4 as the axioms for the lattice.

Now, we replace the Absorptive law L 4 by a weaker postulate, viz<sup>2)</sup>,

L 4<sup>\*</sup> (a) if  $y \cup x = x$  then  $y \cap x = y$ ,

(b) if  $y \cap x = x$  then  $y \cup x = y$ ;

and this, together with L1 (a), L2, L3 will be taken as postulates for a "System  $\sum$ "<sup>3)</sup>.

We shall demonstrate the independency of postulates of  $\sum$ . Before doing so, we enumerate some relations between these postulates.

(I) L1 (a) and L4 (a) imply L1 (b). In fact, by L1 (a),

Then by Footnote 2) there are 32 equivalent systems of postulates for a lattice.

<sup>1)</sup> Cf. Ore, On the Foundation of Abstract algebra 1, Ann. Math., **36**, 409 (1935), Philip M. Whitmann, Free lattices, Ann. Math., **42**, 325 (1941), and G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, Ammer. Math. Soc. Coll. Pub. XXV (1940), etc.

<sup>2)</sup> In the case when L2 holds, we may use instead of L4\* (a) any one of the following three postulates: (1) if  $x \cup y = x$  then  $y \cap x = y$ , (2) if  $y \cap x = x$  then  $x \cap y = y$ , (3) if  $x \cup y = x$  then  $x \cap y = y$ , and also instead of L4 (b) any one of the three postulates: (1) if  $x \cap y = x$  then  $y \cup x = y$ , (2) if  $y \cap x = x$  then  $x \cup y = y$ , (3) if  $x \cap y = x$  then  $x \cup y = y$ , (3) if  $x \cap y = x$  then  $x \cup y = y$ .

<sup>3)</sup> In this "System  $\sum$ ", we may use the postulate L1 (b), instead of L1 (a). Cf. (1).