

94. Representations of a Solvable Lie Group on $\bar{\delta}_b$ Cohomology Spaces

By Takaaki NOMURA

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1986)

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, j, \omega)$ be a normal j -algebra introduced by Pyatetskii-Shapiro [5] (see below). We denote by G the connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . The aim of this note is to give, relating its construction to a certain geometric structure, a unitary representation of G in which every irreducible (up to a set of Plancherel measure zero) occurs with multiplicity one.

1. A triplet $(\mathfrak{g}, j, \omega)$ of a completely solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , a linear operator j on \mathfrak{g} such that $j^2 = -1_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\omega \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is termed a *normal j -algebra* if (i) the Nijenhuis tensor for j vanishes, (ii) $\langle x, y \rangle := \omega([x, jy])$ defines an inner product on \mathfrak{g} relative to which j is an orthogonal transformation. Let $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$, the connected and simply connected Lie group corresponding to \mathfrak{g} . As is well-known, there is a Siegel domain D of type II on which G acts simply transitively by affine automorphisms. Denote by $S(D)$ the Šilov boundary of D . Then, $S(D)$ is diffeomorphic to a nilpotent (at most 2-step) normal subgroup $N(D)$ of G . Moreover, G is written as a semi-direct product $G = N(D) \rtimes G(0)$ with a closed subgroup $G(0)$ of G . We assume throughout this note that D does not reduce to a tube domain. In this case, $N(D)$ is a 2-step nilpotent Lie group and $S(D)$ has a natural CR structure. So, the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\delta}_b$ is defined and we have $\bar{\delta}_b \circ \bar{\delta}_b = 0$.

By Rossi-Vergne [7], the unitary representations of $N(D)$ defined by translations on the square integrable $\bar{\delta}_b$ cohomology spaces H^q ($q=0, 1, \dots$) on $S(D)$ contain almost every irreducible of $N(D)$. We will define unitary representations of G on H^q ($q=0, 1, \dots$) such that their restrictions to $N(D)$ coincide with those of [7]. We remark that there is no G -invariant Riemannian metric on $S(D)$, so the usual geometric method is not directly applicable.

2. It is known that \mathfrak{g} is written as an orthogonal direct sum (relative to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(1/2) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(1)$ with $[\mathfrak{g}(k), \mathfrak{g}(m)] \subset \mathfrak{g}(k+m)$, where we understand $\mathfrak{g}(k) = \{0\}$ for $k > 1$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}(0) = \text{Lie } G(0)$ and we have $\mathfrak{n}(D) := \text{Lie } N(D) = \mathfrak{g}(1/2) + \mathfrak{g}(1)$. We put $V = \mathfrak{g}(1/2)$. Then V is j -invariant, so $\dim V > 0$ is even. We denote by \mathcal{E} the set of all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}(1)^*$ such that the skew-symmetric bilinear form $\lambda([x, y])$ on V is non-degenerate. \mathcal{E} is an open dense subset of $\mathfrak{g}(1)^*$. Let J be a Borel mapping with values in real linear operators on V such that for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$, (i) $J(\lambda)$ is a complex structure on V satisfying