

87. *Infinitely Divisible Distributions and Ordinary Differential Equations*

By Takesi WATANABE

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1979)

1. Introduction. In the study of the limit distributions of multi-type Galton-Watson processes, S. Sugitani [2] has discovered that if a nonnegative function $\psi(t, \lambda)$, defined for $t \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0$, satisfies the ordinary differential equation having λ as a parameter

$$(1) \quad \psi' = -B\psi^2 + \lambda p(t), \quad \psi(0, \lambda) = m\lambda,$$

where $B > 0, m \geq 0$ and $p(t)$ is a polynomial with positive coefficients, then there exists for each $t > 0$ an infinitely divisible distribution ν_t on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$(2) \quad \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \psi(s, \lambda) ds \right\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} \nu_t(dx).$$

Further information on ν_t is given in [3].

In this note we will prove a stronger result that $\exp \{-\psi(t, \lambda)\}$ is the Laplace transform of some infinitely divisible distribution μ_t on $[0, \infty)$. Our proof is quite elementary and can be applied to more general equations.

2. A heuristic argument. Given $f(x), g(t, \lambda)$ and $h(\lambda)$ defined for $x \in (-\infty, \infty), t \in [0, T], \lambda \in [0, \infty)$, consider the following ordinary differential equation having λ as a parameter;

$$(3) \quad \psi' = f(\psi) + g(t, \lambda), \quad \psi(0, \lambda) = h(\lambda).$$

For the moment, we assume that equation (3) has a unique solution $\psi(t, \lambda)$ in $[0, T] \times [0, \infty)$. Here and after we will write ψ' for $D_t \psi(t, \lambda), f^{(n)}$ for $D_x^n f, g_{n\lambda}(t, \lambda)$ for $D_\lambda^n g(t, \lambda)$ and so on. We now seek a suitable condition in order that $\psi_\lambda(t, \lambda)$ is completely monotonic in $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ for each $t \geq 0$. The essential part of our condition is that $-f^{(2)}(\cdot), g_\lambda(t, \cdot)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$ and $h_\lambda(\cdot)$ are completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$. To show the above assertion, differentiating (3) with respect to λ , we have

$$\psi'_\lambda = f^{(1)}(\psi)\psi_\lambda + g_\lambda(t, \lambda), \quad \psi_\lambda(0, \lambda) = h_\lambda(\lambda).$$

Since $g_\lambda(t, \lambda) \geq 0$ and $h_\lambda(\lambda) \geq 0$, it follows that $\psi_\lambda(t, \lambda) \geq 0$. Similarly, n -times differentiation of (3) leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{n\lambda} = & f^{(1)}(\psi)\psi_{n\lambda} + f^{(2)}(\psi) \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=n \\ 1 \leq k_1 \leq k_2}} c_{k_1, k_2} \psi_{k_1\lambda} \psi_{k_2\lambda} \\ & + \cdots + f^{(j)}(\psi) \sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_j=n \\ 1 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_j}} c_{k_1, \dots, k_j} \psi_{k_1\lambda} \cdots \psi_{k_j\lambda} \end{aligned}$$