

75. On Vinogradov's Zero-Free Region for the Riemann Zeta-Function

By Yoichi MOTOHASHI

Department of Mathematics, College of Science and
Technology, Nihon University, Tokyo

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Nov., 13, 1978)

1. Let $\zeta(s)$ ($s = \sigma + it$) be the Riemann zeta-function. And let $Y(t) = (\log(|t| + 3))^{2/3}(\log \log(|t| + 3))^{1/3}$. Also let c denote generally a positive absolute constant whose value may differ at each occurrence. Then, as is well-known, we have

Theorem 1. $\zeta(s)$ does not vanish for $\sigma \geq 1 - cY(t)^{-1}$.

Previous proofs of this fact are all dependent either on the theory of integral functions or on a function-theoretical lemma of Landau. The purpose of the present note is to show briefly that there exists still another proof which does not depend at all on the deep function-theoretical properties of $\zeta(s)$. Our main tools are the Vinogradov-Richert theorem (Lemma 1 below), the Selberg sieve and an argument closely related to that of [1].

As a by-product of our procedure we can prove also

Theorem 2. Let U be sufficiently large, and let us assume

$$\zeta(1 + iU)^{-1} \ll D(U)(\log U)^{2/3}(\log \log U)^{1/4},$$

where $D(U)$ increases monotonically to infinity as $U \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\zeta(s)$ does not vanish for

$$\sigma \geq 1 - cY(U)^{-1} \log D(U), \quad |t| \leq U/2, \quad t = \pm U.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 will not be given below; we mention only that it is derived from Lemmas 3 and 4. The detailed account will appear elsewhere.

2. Throughout in this and the next sections we assume that T is sufficiently large and that $1 - \delta + iT$ is a zero of $\zeta(s)$ such that $0 < \delta \leq (\log T)^{-2/3}$. Because of the reason stated at the end of this section, we may presume also $\delta \geq (\log T)^{-10}$.

Now let $\sigma(n; a)$ be the sum of the a -th powers of divisors of n , and let us put $f(n) = \sigma(n; -\delta - iT)$. We apply the Selberg sieve to the sequence $\{|f(n)^2|\}$. According to the general theory we should put

$$g(r) = \prod_{p|r} (F_p - 1), \quad G(R) = \sum_{r \leq R} \mu^2(r)g(r),$$

where

$$F_p = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |f(p^m)|^2 p^{-m}.$$

Then the optimal weight is given by