

### 48. On the Normal Generation by a Line Bundle on an Abelian Variety

By Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI

Department of Mathematics, Chuo University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1978)

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ ,  $X$  an abelian variety over  $k$ , and  $L$  an ample invertible sheaf on  $X$ . In the previous paper [7], the author proved, unfortunately providing  $p \neq 2, 3$ , that the embedded variety of  $X$  into the projective space  $P(\Gamma(L^3))$  by means of the global sections of  $L^3$ , is ideal-theoretically an intersection of cubics. But he has recently found that the method in it can be extended for  $p=2$ . Namely, it can be checked easily even for  $p=2$  that the canonical map  $\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{-\alpha}) \rightarrow \Gamma(L^4)$  is surjective for almost all  $\alpha$  in  $\hat{X}$ , and which was the only obstacle to the proof of the fact for  $p=2$  in [7]. Moreover, as mentioned in [7], the surjectivity of the canonical maps lead us easily to the main results given in [1], [5] and [6].

We start with the following Mumford's theta structure theorem.

**Theorem** (Mumford's theta structure theorem). *Let  $M$  be a non-degenerate invertible sheaf on  $X$  of index  $i$ , and*

$$1 \rightarrow G_m \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M) \xrightarrow{j(M)} K(M) \rightarrow 0$$

*the theta group scheme of  $M$ , where  $K(M)$  is the scheme-theoretic kernel of the homomorphism  $\phi_M: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  defined by  $x \rightarrow T_x^* M \otimes M^{-1}$ . Then the canonical action  $U$  of  $\mathcal{G}(M)$  on  $H^i(M) = H^i(X, M)$  is the unique irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{G}(M)$ , with  $G_m$  acting naturally (cf. Appendix to [6]).*

**Corollary.** *Under the same notation as in above, let  $V$  and  $W$  be two subspaces of  $H^i(M)$  with  $V \supset W \neq \{0\}$ . Assume that for any local ring  $(B, \mathfrak{M})$  over  $k$  with the residue field  $k$  and any  $B$ -valued point  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{G}(M)$ ,  $U_\lambda(W \otimes B) \subset V \otimes B$ . Then we have  $V = H^i(M)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $R = \Gamma(\mathcal{G}(M), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}(M)})$ , and  $\sigma: H^i(M) \rightarrow H^i(M) \otimes R$  be the co-module structure corresponding to the action  $U$ . We denote by  $\gamma$  the composition :

$$H^i(M) \otimes R^* \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1_{R^*}} H^i(M) \otimes R \otimes R^* \xrightarrow{1_{H^i(M)} \otimes \langle \rangle} H^i(M),$$

where  $R^* = \text{Hom}_k(R, k)$  and  $\langle \rangle$  stands for "contraction". Here we put  $\bar{W} = \gamma(W \otimes R^*)$ . Then obviously  $\{0\} \neq W \subset \bar{W} \subset V$  and  $\bar{W}$  becomes a stable subspace under the action  $U$ . Hence, by Mumford's theta structure theorem, we have  $\bar{W} = V = H^i(M)$ . Q.E.D.