

82. On a Definition of Singular Integral Operators. II

By Hitoshi KUMANO-GO

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., June 12, 1964)

2. Main theorems. In this part we shall prove that the main theorems relating to singular integral operators in the sense of A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund [1] holds for ours defined in the part I by using the lemmas proved there.

Theorem 1. $H \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, T_s)$ defined in Definition 4 in the part I is a bounded operator in L_x^2 and

$$(2.1) \quad \|Hu\| \leq \{\delta'/(\delta' - \delta)\}^s \left(\sum_{i=1}^k A_i \right) \|u\|, \quad u \in L_x^2,$$

where $A_i = \sup_{R^n \times \mathcal{D}^*(\gamma^{(i)}, \delta')} |h_i(x, \zeta)| \cdot \sup_{\eta} |\alpha_i(\eta)|$.

Proof. In the representation (1.16) we have for $u \in L_x^2$

$$\|a_i^{(\nu)} H_i^{(\nu)} u\| \leq \sup_{R^n \times \mathcal{D}^*(\gamma^{(i)}, \delta')} |a_i^{(\nu)}(x)(\eta - \gamma^{(i)})^\nu| \cdot \sup_{\eta} |\alpha_i(\eta)| \cdot \|u\|.$$

Hence by (1.14) we have $\|a_i^{(\nu)} H_i^{(\nu)} u\| \leq (\delta/\delta')^{|\nu|} A_i \|u\|$, and therefore

$$\|Hu\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\nu} (\delta/\delta')^{|\nu|} A_i \|u\| = \{\delta'/(\delta' - \delta)\}^s \left(\sum_{i=1}^k A_i \right) \|u\|. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Theorem 2. Let $H \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, T_s)$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}(p)$, $-\infty < p < +\infty$. Then, for any $\sigma_0 \geq 0$ we have the representation

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma H - H\Gamma &= \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq l-1} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} H_\alpha \cdot (x^\alpha \Gamma) + K_{\sigma_0}^{(1)} \\ &= - \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq l-1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} (x^\alpha \Gamma) \cdot H_\alpha + K_{\sigma_0}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

for every $l > \text{Max} \{[(4k+n)\tau + p]/\rho, 0\}$ with $k = [\sigma_0/(2\rho) + 1]$, where $H_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, T_s)$ defined by $\sigma(H_\alpha)(x, \eta) = D_x^\alpha \sigma(H)(x, \eta)$ and $K_{\sigma_0}^{(i)}$ ($i=1, 2$) are of order σ_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A^{\sigma_1} K_{\sigma_0}^{(1)} A^{\sigma_2}\| \\ &\leq C_{\sigma_0, l, \tau} \left(\frac{\delta'}{\delta' - \delta} \right)^s \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{|\beta| \leq 4k+l} \sup_{R^n \times \mathcal{D}^*(\gamma^{(i)}, \delta')} |D_x^\beta h_i(x, \zeta)| \cdot \sup_{\eta} |\alpha_i(\eta)| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary. If $H \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda, T_s)$ and $\Psi \equiv 0$, then $H\Psi \equiv \Psi H \equiv 0$.

Proof. By (1.16) and (1.17) we have $\Gamma H - H\Gamma =$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\nu} (\Gamma a_i^{(\nu)} - a_i^{(\nu)} \Gamma) H_i^{(\nu)}$$

and by Lemma 3

$$\Gamma a_i^{(\nu)} - a_i^{(\nu)} \Gamma = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq l-1} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} D_x^\alpha a_i^{(\nu)} \cdot (x^\alpha \Gamma) + K_{\sigma_0, i}^{(\nu)} \equiv I_i^{(\nu)} + K_{\sigma_0, i}^{(\nu)}.$$

It is easy to see

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{\nu} I_i^{(\nu)} = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq l-1} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} H_\alpha \cdot (x^\alpha \Gamma).$$