

## 102. On Characterizations of I-Algebra. I

By Yasuo SETÔ and Shôtarô TANAKA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., May 12, 1966)

In this paper, we shall show that *an axiomatic system of implicational calculus given by C. A. Meredith is equivalent to Tarski-Bernays' axiom system* using an algebraic formulation.

In his paper [2], Prof. K. Iséki has proved that Tarski-Bernays' axiom system implies Meredith's system and other systems. Further Prof. K. Iséki refers Tarski-Bernays' axiom system as *I*-algebra. We shall prove that Meredith's alternative 4-axiom set implies Tarski-Bernays' system. We shall carry out this proof algebraically.

Let  $\langle X, 0, * \rangle$  be an abstract algebra. For the notion of this algebra and notations, see [1]. The alternative 4-axiom set is given as the following 1-4, D1-D3.

- 1  $y*(y*x) \leq x$ ,
- 2  $(z*x)*(z*y) \leq y*x$ ,
- 3  $y*x \leq (y*x)*x$ ,
- 4  $x*(x*y) \leq y*(y*x)$ ,
- D1  $x \leq y$  means  $x*y = 0$ ,
- D2  $0 \leq x$ ,
- D3  $x \leq y, y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ .

In 2, put  $y*(y*x)$  for  $y$ , then we have

$$(z*x)*(z*(y*(y*x))) \leq (y*(y*x))*x.$$

By 1 the right side of the above is equal to 0. Hence, by D1, D2, and D3, we have

$$5 \quad z*x \leq z*(y*(y*x)).$$

If we put  $x = (z*y)*x$ ,  $y = (z*x)*(z*(z*y))$ ,  $z = (z*x)*y$  in 2, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (((z*x)*y)*((z*y)*x))*(((z*x)*y)*((z*x)*(z*(z*y)))) \\ & \leq ((z*x)*(z*(z*y)))*((z*y)*x). \end{aligned}$$

We see the right side is equal to 0, putting  $y = z*y$  in 2. At the same time, we see the second term of the left side is equal to 0, putting  $x = y$ ,  $y = z$ ,  $z = z*x$  in 5. Hence we have

$$6 \quad (z*x)*y \leq (z*y)*x.$$

In 2, put  $y = y*(y*x)$ ,  $z = x*(x*y)$ , and apply 1, 2 to it, we have

$$7 \quad (x*(x*y)) \leq x.$$

In 6, put  $y = x*y$ ,  $z = x$ , then we have  $(x*x)*(x*y) \leq (x*(x*y))*x$ .

By 7, the right side is equal to 0. Hence we have

$$8 \quad x*x \leq x*y.$$