

## 102. Integration with Respect to the Generalized Measure. III

By Masahiro TAKAHASHI

Department of Economics, Osaka City University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., June 12, 1968)

1. **Introduction.** Suppose  $M, S, G, K, J, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mu,$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  are defined as are in the example in the introduction in [1]. Then  $(S, \mathcal{G}, J)$  is an abstract integral structure [1] and  $\mathcal{I}$  is an abstract integral [1] with respect to this structure. For each  $a \in K$ , let  $\bar{a}$  be the function in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\bar{a}(x) = a$  for each  $x \in M$ . Then the operator “ $-$ ” may be considered as an isomorphism of the topological additive group  $K$  into  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let us denote by  $\bar{K}$  the image of  $K$  by this isomorphism. The topological additive group  $K$  can be identified with the subgroup  $\bar{K}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  by this isomorphism and it holds that  $K \subset \mathcal{G}$ .

Now let  $i$  be the map of  $S \times K$  into  $J$  such that  $i(X, \bar{a}) = \mu(X) \cdot a$  for each  $X \in S$  and  $a \in K$ . Then this map  $i$  satisfies the following conditions:

- 1)  $i(X, a + b) = i(X, a) + i(X, b),$
- 2)  $i(X + Y, a) = i(X, a) + i(Y, a)$  if  $XY = 0,$

for each  $X, Y \in S$ , and  $a, b \in K$ . Further  $\mathcal{I}$  is an extension of  $i$ .

Conversely, when such a map  $i$  is given, how can we extend the map  $i$  to an abstract integral  $\mathcal{I}$ ? We shall give an answer to this question in the present part of the paper.

### 2. Construction of an abstract integral.

**Assumption 1.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{F}, J)$  be an abstract integral structure and  $K$  a subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $i$  be a map of  $S \times K$  into  $J$  satisfying the conditions:

- 1)  $i(X, a + b) = i(X, a) + i(X, b),$
- 2)  $i(X + Y, a) = i(X, a) + i(Y, a)$  if  $XY = 0,$

for each  $X, Y \in S$ , and  $a, b \in K$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{G}_0$  the subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by  $SK = \{Xa \mid X \in S \text{ and } a \in K\}$  and by  $\mathcal{G}$  the  $\mathcal{F}$ -completion [1] of  $\mathcal{G}_0$ .

**Proposition 1.**  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i a_i \mid X_i \in S \text{ and } a_i \in K, i=1, 2, \dots, n \}$   
 $= \{ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i a_i \mid X_i \in S \text{ and } a_i \in K, i=1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and } X_j X_k = 0 (j \neq k) \}.$

**Proof.** It suffices to show that, for any  $g = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i a_i \in \mathcal{G}_0$ , where  $X_i \in S$  and  $a_i \in K, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , there exist  $Y_j \in S$  and  $b_j \in K$ ,