

13. On Vector Measures. I

By Sachio OHBA

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1970)

1. Introduction. In [1] Dinculeanu and Kluvanek have proved the following result.

Let S be a set, R a tribe (σ -ring) of subsets of S , X a locally convex linear space with topology defined by a family $\{\|\cdot\|_p\}_{p \in P}$ of semi-norms, and $m; R \rightarrow X$ a vector measure. Then for every $p \in P$ there exists a finite non-negative measure ν_p on R such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{\nu_p(A) \rightarrow 0} \|m(A)\|_p = 0$$

$$(2) \quad \nu_p(E) \leq \sup \{\|m(A)\|_p; A \subset E, A \in R\} \quad ([1] \text{ Theorem 1})$$

They also raised the following problem: whether this theorem remains valid if the tribe is replaced by a semi-tribe (δ -ring)? In this paper we shall give the negative answer for the problem. And in case R is a semi-tribe we shall show that the above theorem remains true under a weaker property than (1) and property (2). (cf. Theorem 1)

In this paper we suppose that X is a normed space in order to simplify the proof.

2. Vector measures. Definition 1. Let S be a set. A nonvoid class R of subsets of S is called a semi-tribe (δ -ring) if;

$$(1) \quad A, B \in R \Rightarrow A \cup B \in R, A - B \in R.$$

$$(2) \quad A_n \in R (n=1, 2, \dots) \Rightarrow \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in R.$$

From this definition it follows that a semi-tribe R has the following properties.

$$(3) \quad A_n \in R, A \in R \text{ and } A_n \subset A (n=1, 2, \dots) \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in R$$

(4) if we set $R_A = \{B \cap A; B \in R\}$ for any $A \in R$, then R_A is a tribe on A .

Suppose that X is a normed space and \tilde{X} its completion.

Definition 2. Let R be a clan (ring). A set function m defined on R with values in X is called a vector measure if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1) \quad m(\emptyset) = 0$$

(2) for every sequence $\{E_n\}$ of mutually disjoint sets of R such

that $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in R$, $m(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E_n)$.

For every $E \in R$, we set $\tilde{m}(E) = \sup \{\|m(A)\|; A \subset E, A \in R\}$. Then it is easy to see that \tilde{m} is increase, subadditive on R .