

## 16. Weak Topology, Bicomact Set and the Principle of Duality.

By Shizuo KAKUTANI.

Mathematical Institute, Osaka Imperial University.

(Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., March 12, 1940.)

**1. Weak topology and bicomact set.** Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be an abstract space and consider the family  $\mathcal{Q}$  of real-valued (bounded) functions  $f(x)$  defined on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . We shall introduce a weak topology on  $\mathcal{Q}$ . For any  $f_0(x) \in \mathcal{Q}$  its weak neighbourhood  $U(f_0; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \epsilon)$  is defined as the totality of all the functions  $f(x) \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $|f(x_i) - f_0(x_i)| < \epsilon$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $\{x_i\} (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  is an arbitrary finite system of points from  $\mathfrak{S}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary positive number. It is clear that this neighbourhood system defines a topology on  $\mathcal{Q}$ . This topology is called the  $\mathfrak{S}$ -weak topology of  $\mathcal{Q}$  as functionals.

In the same way, if we consider, for any  $x_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $x_0(f) \equiv f(x_0)$  as a real-valued (bounded) function defined on  $\mathcal{Q}$ , we can introduce a weak topology on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Indeed, for any  $x_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$  its weak neighbourhood  $U(x_0; f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n; \epsilon)$  is defined as the totality of all the points  $x \in \mathfrak{S}$  such that  $|f_i(x) - f_i(x_0)| < \epsilon$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $\{f_i(x)\} (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  is an arbitrary finite system of functions from  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary positive number. It is again clear that this neighbourhood system defines a topology on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . This topology is called the  $\mathcal{Q}$ -weak topology of  $\mathfrak{S}$  as points.

*Theorem 1.* If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the totality of all the bounded (not necessarily continuous) real-valued functions  $f(x)$  defined on  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  for any  $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is bicomact with respect to the  $\mathfrak{S}$ -weak topology of  $\mathcal{Q}$  as functionals.

This theorem is due to A. Tychonoff.<sup>1)</sup> The weak topologies of the same kind may also be defined analogously even if the range of  $f(x)$  is contained in an arbitrary uniform space (in the sense of A. Weil).<sup>2)</sup> In the special case, when  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a Banach space  $E$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the set of all the bounded linear functionals  $f(x)$  defined on  $E$  (i. e.,  $\mathcal{Q} = \bar{E}$ ), these weak topologies become the usual ones. We have once<sup>3)</sup> studied the weak topologies of Banach spaces, and have shown that these weak topologies are useful in the problems concerning the regularity of Banach spaces. From Theorem 1 we can easily deduce

*Theorem 2.* Let  $E$  be a Banach space. Then the unit sphere:  $\|f\| \leq 1$  of the conjugate space  $\bar{E}$  of  $E$  is bicomact with respect to the  $E$ -weak topology of  $\bar{E}$  as functionals.

1) A. Tychonoff: Über einen Funktionenraum, *Math. Ann.*, **111** (1935), 762-766.

2) A. Weil: Sur les espaces à structure uniforme et sur la topologie générale, *Actualité*, 551, Paris, 1937.

3) S. Kakutani: Weak topology and regularity of Banach spaces, *Proc.* **15** (1939), 169-173.