

## PAPERS COMMUNICATED

## 102. On the Regular Vector Lattice.

By Masae ORIHARA.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., Nov. 12, 1942.)

*Introduction.* L. V. Kantorvitch introduced the notion of regularity<sup>1)</sup> in vector lattice and applied it to the space of measurable functions. In § 1 of this paper, we prove that the regularity axiom is decomposed into two simple propositions. In the succeeding articles we prove many theorems in Kantorvitch's paper under weaker assumption.

§ 1. Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a complete vector lattice. Then the regularity axiom due to Kantorvitch reads as follows:

If  $E_n \subset \mathfrak{L}$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$  and  $\sup E_n$  tends to a limit  $y$ , then for each  $n$  there exists a finite subset  $E'_n$  of  $E_n$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E'_n = y$ .

For regular vector lattice  $\mathfrak{L}$ , two theorems hold as Kantorvitch shows.

**I.** If  $y_i^{(k)} \rightarrow y_i(o)$  (as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $y_i \rightarrow y(o)$  (as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ) in  $\mathfrak{L}$ , then there exists an increasing sequence of indices  $k_1, k_2, \dots$  such that  $y_i^{(k_i)} \rightarrow y(o)$  ( $i \rightarrow \infty$ )<sup>2)</sup>

**II.** For any set  $E \subset \mathfrak{L}$ , there exists an enumerable subset  $E'$  of  $E$  such that  $\sup E' = \sup E$ <sup>3)</sup>

Conversely, we can prove the following theorem.

*Theorem 1.1.* **I** and **II** imply the regularity axiom.

*Proof.* By **II**, for each  $E_n$  there exists an enumerable set  $E'_n = \{y_{n,k}\}$   $k=1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $\sup E_n = \sup (y_{n,k})_{k=1, 2, \dots}$ . If we put  $y_n^{(k)} = \sup (y_{n,1}, \dots, y_{n,k})$ , then  $y_n^{(k)} \uparrow \sup E_n$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Therefore, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup E_n = y_0$ , then by **I** we can find an increasing sequence of indices  $\{k_n\}$  such that  $\lim_n y_n^{(k_n)} = y_0$ . Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup (y_{n,1}, \dots, y_{n,k_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup E_n$ .

From the proof it is easy to see that in **II** we can replace the condition  $y_i^{(k)} \rightarrow y_i(o)$  (as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) by  $y_n^{(k)} \uparrow y_n(o)$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ).

In the space of measurable functions  $(S)$ ,  $(o)$ -convergence is equivalent to almost everywhere convergence<sup>4)</sup>. Therefore, **I** is nothing but Fréchet's theorem<sup>5)</sup>.

We can easily verify that the space  $(S)$  satisfies **II**. But more generally we can prove

*Theorem 1.2.* **II** holds in the space of functions with metric function  $\rho$  such that 1°. for any  $y \geq 0$ ,  $\rho(y)$  is defined and  $\geq 0$  and  $\rho(y) = 0$

1) L. V. Kantorvitch: Lineare halbgeordnete Räume, *Recueil Math.*, **44** (1937), pp. 121-165.

2) loc. cit., Satz 24.

3) loc. cit., Satz 23, a).

4) G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, Chapter VII.

5) M. Fréchet, *Rendiconti di Palermo*, **22** (1906), p. 15.