By Takayuki TAMURA and Naoki KIMURA

§ 1. Let us consider letters  $x_i$ ,  $x_2$ , ...,  $x_n$  placed in a row permitting repetition, for example,  $x_2 \chi_i^2 \chi_j^3 \chi_n \chi_1 \cdots \chi_{n-1}^4$ . Such a form is called a monomial of  $x_1$ , ...,  $x_n$  and is denoted by  $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$  etc. If  $x_1$ , ...,  $x_n$  are adopted as elements of a semigroup  $\top$ , then  $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$  represents a product of elements in  $\top$ . Suppose that a semigroup  $\top$  fulfils a suitable system of equalities:

 $f_{\lambda}(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = g_{\lambda}(x_1, \cdots, x_n), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda$ 

for all 
$$\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in T$$
.

where  $\chi_1$ , ...,  $\chi_n$  vary independently and each side of the equalities needs not contain all of letters  $\chi_1$ , ...,  $\chi_n$ , but letters appearing in both sides are  $\chi_1$ , ...,  $\chi_n$ ; for example,  $f_1(\chi, y) = \chi y$ ,  $g_1(\chi, y) = \chi$ . Then  $\top$ is called a semigroup with monomial conditions  $f_\lambda = g_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Of course a one-element semigroup  $\{\chi\}$  is one of this kind. In this short note, we shall prove the

existence of greatest decomposition of a semigroup g to a semigroup T with  $f_{\chi} = g_{\chi}$ ,  $\chi \in \Lambda$ , which turns out to be an expansion of the theorem in the previous paper [1].

§ 2. Now let D be all decompositions of S to a semigroup T with  $f_{\lambda}=g_{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda\in\Lambda$ , and  $\not\leq$  be a congruence realation arising  $d\in D$ . The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 1. d is a congruence relation arising a decomposition d of S to a semigroup  $\top$  with  $f_{\lambda} = g_{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , if and only if (1)  $\chi d \chi$ , (2)  $\chi d \chi$  implies  $\chi d \chi$ , (3)  $\chi d \chi$  implies  $\chi_{\Sigma} d \chi_{\Sigma}$  and

(4)  

$$z_{x} d_{z_{1}}$$
,  $(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}) d_{x} \mathcal{B}_{\lambda}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n})$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Theorem. D is a complete lattice.

Proof. We define  $d_\alpha \gtrsim d_\beta$  to mean that x day implies x day . Then D is a partly ordered set and D contains a least element, i.e. a mapping of all elements of S into one class. In order to verify that D is a complete lattice, it is sufficient to show that any subset  $\mathcal{D}'$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  has a least upper bound in D [2]. Now we define  $x \stackrel{d}{\sim} y$  to mean  $x \stackrel{d}{\sim} y$  for all  $d \in D'$ . Since every d is a congruence relation, it is proved easily that  $d_{i}$  is also so, that is, (1) x dr, (2) x dy implies y dx (3) x dy implies x z dy and z x d zy.  $f_{\lambda}(\chi_1,\chi_2,\chi_n) \xrightarrow{d_1} g_{\lambda}(\chi_1,\chi_2,\dots,\chi_n)$ Moreover because  $f_{\lambda}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n) \stackrel{d}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n)$ for all deD'. Obviously x dy implies  $x \not \leq y$  for all  $d \in D'$ ; hence a decomposition d, is an upper bound of D' . Let  $d'_{i}$  be any upper bound of  $D'_{i}$ . Then  $x \not \leq y$  implies  $x \not \leq y$  for all  $i \in D'$ so that  $x \not e y$  , that is to say,  $i(z, t_i)$ ; d, is a least upper bound of D' . Thus the proof of the theorem has been completed. Accordingly we have

Corollary. There is a greatest decomposition of a semigroup g to a semigroup  $\tau$  with  $f_{k} = g_{k,p} \ \lambda \in \Lambda$ .

§ 3. We shall give several important examples of  $\top$  .

Left singular semigroup, i.e.,
 a semigroup satisfying x<sub>d=x</sub>

 $f_i(x, y) = xy$ ,  $g_i(x, y) = x$ .

Right singular semigroup, i.e. a semigroup satisfying ,

 $f_{1}(x, y) = xy$ ,  $g_{1}(x, y) = y$ .

2. Commutative semigroup,

 $t_1(x,y) = xy$ ,  $g_1(x,y) = yx$ .