J. Math. Soc. Japan Vol. 40, No. 4, 1988

Weak expectations in C^* -dynamical systems

By Charles J. K. BATTY and Masaharu KUSUDA

(Received April 20, 1987)

1. Introduction.

Attempts to extend a factorial state φ on a C^* -algebra B to a factorial state on a larger C^* -algebra A mainly centred around searches for solutions of a tensor product problem, or equivalently for weak expectations for the GNS representation π_{φ} , that is, linear contractions P of A into $\pi_{\varphi}(B)''$ such that $P|_B = \pi_{\varphi}$ (see [1] and the references cited therein). The eventual solutions of the problem [7, 9] were variants of this method.

In the case when there is an action α of an amenable group G on A leaving B invariant, an analogous problem is to consider an α -invariant state φ of B which is centrally ergodic in the sense that

$$\pi_{\omega}(B)'' \cap \pi_{\omega}(B)' \cap u_{\omega}(G)' = C \cdot 1$$
,

where $(\pi_{\varphi}, u_{\varphi})$ is the associated covariant representation of (B, G, α) , and to try to find an extension to a centrally ergodic state of A. It was shown in [3] that this can be done by the method of [1] if B is (semi)nuclear, but the von Neumann algebra theory developed in [7, 9] is not sufficient to provide a general solution. A corollary of a successful solution is that if A is separable and G-central (and B is nuclear), then B is also G-central.

The purpose of this paper is to clarify the covariant situation. Firstly, in Section 2, we consider the problem lifted to the C^* -crossed products. Thus the existence of a weak expectation \hat{Q} for the representation $\pi_{\varphi} \times u_{\varphi}$ of $A \times_{\alpha} G$ (with respect to the subalgebra $B \times_{\alpha} G$) is seen to be equivalent to the existence of a (covariant) completely positive contraction Q of A into $(\pi_{\varphi}(B) \cup u_{\varphi}(G))''$ such that $Q|_B = \pi_{\varphi}$. Under these circumstances, one may apply the results of [1] to the crossed products. Secondly, in Section 3, it is observed that, if Ais G-central, then \hat{Q} and Q always exist. Thus the question of G-centrality of B is reduced to the problem of arranging that Q maps A into $\pi_{\varphi}(B)''$.

For the theory of crossed products, the reader is referred to [8, Chapter 7]; for the basic theory of invariant states, to [4, 4.3].