

# ON DERIVATIONS OF NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS

TERUKIYO SATÔ

(Received June 15, 1965)

By E. Schenkman and N. Jacobson [1], it is shown that a nilpotent Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0 always has a non-inner derivation. That is, denote by  $\mathfrak{D}(N)$  and  $\mathfrak{I}(N)$  the derivation algebra and the collection of all inner derivations of a nilpotent Lie algebra  $N$  respectively, then  $\mathfrak{D}(N) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{I}(N)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{I}(N)$  is contained in the maximal nilpotent ideal  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ , hence  $\mathfrak{I}(N)$  is contained in the radical  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ . In the present note we shall show that  $\mathfrak{R}$  does not coincide with  $\mathfrak{I}(N)$ . That is, the Schenkman-Jacobson's result may be strengthened as follows:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathfrak{D}(N)$  be the derivation algebra of a nilpotent Lie algebra  $N$  over a field of characteristic 0, then the radical  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathfrak{D}(N)$  always contains a non-inner derivation.*

To prove the theorem we prepare the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $N$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra whose center  $Z(N)$  is contained in the derived subalgebra  $[N, N]$ , and let us suppose that for any ideal  $M$  with codimension 1 of  $N$ , the center  $Z(M)$  of  $M$  is not contained in  $[N, N]$ . Then  $N$  belongs to either of following two types:*

Type (i).  *$N$  has an ideal  $M$  with codimension 1 and an element  $e$  such that*

$$e \notin M, [e, [N, N]] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [e, Z(M)] \subseteq Z(N).$$

Type (ii).  *$N$  has a basis  $\{e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{21}, e_{22}, \dots, e_{r1}, e_{r2}, z_0\}$  which satisfies the conditions*

$$[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq k,$$

$$[e_{i1}, e_{i2}] = z_0,$$

$$[e_{ij}, z_0] = 0.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $M$  be an arbitrary ideal with codimension 1. We note first that such an ideal  $M$  always contains the derived subalgebra  $[N, N]$ . In fact,