

FOLIATED COBORDISMS OF SUSPENDED FOLIATIONS

GEN-ICHI OSHIKIRI

(Received May 10, 1979, revised September 21, 1979)

Introduction. Let (M^n, \mathcal{F}) be a codimension q foliation on a closed oriented n -manifold M^n . Then the suspended foliation $\Sigma_f(M^n, \mathcal{F})$ is obtained from $(M^n, \mathcal{F}) \times [0, 1]$ by the identification of $(M^n, \mathcal{F}) \times \{0\}$ with $(M^n, \mathcal{F}) \times \{1\}$ by means of a foliation preserving diffeomorphism f . There are interesting examples of foliations of this type. For example, let \mathcal{F} be a codimension 1 foliation on T^{n+1} whose leaves are transverse to the fibers of the canonical fibration $S^1 \rightarrow T^{n+1} = S^1 \times T^n \rightarrow T^n$. Then we can construct \mathcal{F} from mutually commuting automorphisms f_1, \dots, f_n of S^1 (cf. Herman [5]). We denote (T^{n+1}, \mathcal{F}) by $\mathcal{F}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is a suspended foliation of $\mathcal{F}(\hat{f}_1, \dots, \hat{f}_n)$ by f_i , where the “hat” means that the term is left out.

Recently, Herman [5] and Morita-Tsuboi [22] proved that the Godbillon-Vey class of $\mathcal{F}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is zero. Considering the conjecture that the map $\text{GV}: \mathcal{F}\Omega_1(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the Godbillon-Vey number is injective, we may ask if $\mathcal{F}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is foliated null-cobordant. However, this seems to be very difficult even for the case $\mathcal{F}(f, g)$ on T^3 (see Tsuboi [23]). Moreover, $\Sigma_f(M, \mathcal{F})$ may not be null-cobordant in general even if (M, \mathcal{F}) is null-cobordant and $f \in \text{LD}(M, \mathcal{F})$, where $\text{LD}(M, \mathcal{F})$ is the group of all leaf preserving diffeomorphisms of (M, \mathcal{F}) . We will give such an example in §6. But it seems to be natural to conjecture that $\Sigma_f(M, \mathcal{F})$ is null-cobordant for $f \in \text{FD}(M, \mathcal{F})_0$, where $\text{FD}(M, \mathcal{F})_0$ is the identity component of the group of all foliation preserving diffeomorphisms of (M, \mathcal{F}) , because the elements in $\text{FD}(M, \mathcal{F})_0$ are considerably restricted (see Lemma 10 in §4).

In this paper we consider this problem and verify the above conjecture for some codimension 1 foliations, i.e., in §3 for the Reeb foliation (S^3, \mathcal{F}_R) and a modified Reeb foliation $(S^3, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_R)$, in §4 for the foliation (S^3, \mathcal{F}_a) with the Godbillon-Vey number of $(S^3, \mathcal{F}_a) = a \neq 0$ constructed by Thurston [20], and in §2 for the foliation defined by a non-vanishing smooth closed 1-form. Concerning the last foliation, we will show that $\Sigma_f(M, \mathcal{F})$ is null-cobordant for $f \in \text{FD}(M, \mathcal{F}) \cap \text{Diff}_+^\infty(M)_0$. These results give some information on the relation between $\Sigma_f(M^3, \mathcal{F})$