Support Sets and Gleason Parts

PAUL BUDDE

1. Introduction

The function algebra H^{∞} is the collection of all bounded holomorphic functions in the unit disc D of the complex plane. Under the supremum norm it is a Banach algebra. The Gelfand theory represents H^{∞} as a subalgebra of C(M), the algebra of continuous, complex-valued functions on M, the maximal ideal space of H^{∞} . With the weak-star topology M is a compact Hausdorff space, and the point evaluations for points in the disc form a dense subset [3].

For points z and w in the disc, the pseudo-hyperbolic distance from z to w is

$$\rho(z,w) = \left| \frac{z-w}{1-\bar{w}z} \right|.$$

Pick's lemma states that, for z and w in D and f a nonconstant H^{∞} function with norm not exceeding 1, $\rho(f(w), f(z)) \leq \rho(z, w)$. Taking points ϕ and ψ in M and extending ρ to $M \times M$ by $\rho(\phi, \psi) = \sup\{\rho(f(\phi), f(\psi)): f \in H^{\infty}, \|f\|_{\infty} < 1\}$, we can partition M into equivalence classes known as Gleason parts, calling ϕ and ψ equivalent provided $\rho(\phi, \psi) < 1$. We denote the Gleason part to which ϕ belongs by P_{ϕ} .

Hoffman [9] has shown that the Gleason parts of M are either singletons or discs. For the latter case he constructed [11] a one-to-one map L_m of D onto P_m sending 0 to m such that $f \circ L_m$ is holomorphic for all f in H^{∞} . Such parts and points are called *analytic*, while points whose Gleason parts are singletons are called *trivial*.

Viewing H^{∞} functions as continuous over the Shilov boundary of M, which is the maximal ideal space of L^{∞} and which we denote by X, one can represent an element ϕ of M as integration against a positive measure μ_{ϕ} : $f(\phi) = \int f d\mu_{\phi}$. This representation allows us to extend ϕ to L^{∞} in such a manner that the Gelfand transforms of L^{∞} functions are also continuous on M. The measure μ_{ϕ} is called a *representing measure*, and its support in X is known as a *support set*. Points in the same Gleason part have the same support set [9]. Support sets may meet, but if they do then one is entirely contained within the other (unpublished work of Hoffman).

Received July 11, 1989. Revision received January 15, 1990. Michigan Math. J. 37 (1990).