## Continuity Properties of Selectors and Michael's Theorem

KRZYSZTOF PRZESŁAWSKI & DAVID YOST

## 0. Introduction

Recall that if A and B are closed, bounded, convex, nonempty subsets of X (i.e.,  $A, B \in H(X)$ ), then the Hausdorff distance between A and B is defined by  $d_H(A, B) = \sup(\{d(x, A): x \in B\} \cup \{d(x, B): x \in A\})$ . Note that X is always isometric to a subset of H(X) if we identify a point x with the singleton set  $\{x\}$ . Since no ambiguity arises, we will usually write d(A, B) rather than  $d_H(A, B)$ . We let K(X) denote the subfamily of compact sets in H(X), also equipped with the Hausdorff metric. Of course K(X) = H(X) when X is finite-dimensional.

Michael's selection theorem [37, Thm. 3.2"] tells us that there is a continuous map  $f: H(X) \to X$  such that  $f(A) \in A$  for all  $A \in H(X)$ . For a given Banach space X, can we find a Lipschitz map  $f: H(X) \to X$  satisfying the same selection identity? We will refer to selection maps from H(X) to X as selectors.

Various authors [40; 43; 45] have observed that this is possible if X is finite-dimensional. Indeed, the Steiner point [46] provides a suitable selector when  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ . It is noted in [55] that this is not possible if X = C[0, 1]. The arguments used in these papers are reasonably elementary.

In this paper, we concern ourselves with the existence of uniformly continuous selectors for general Banach spaces. It follows from [32, Cor. 5] that there is no uniformly continuous selector from H(X) to X whenever X is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. This depends on various results which may be found in [24; 32; 38]. From Dvoretzky's theorem it can then be deduced that there is no uniformly continuous selector from H(X) (or even from K(X)) to X, whenever X is an infinite-dimensional Banach space. This result could have been proved twenty years ago. Although a special case has already been published [16, p. 245], it does not seem to be very well known. We feel that this problem deserves a thorough exposition. Two new proofs will be presented here, in Sections 2 and 3, indicating how this problem interacts with different areas of analysis.

The original proof of [32, Cor. 5] depended upon a result of Lindenstrauss [32] that if a closed subspace M is the range of a uniformly continuous re-