## CHARACTERIZING CERTAIN INCOMPLETE INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL ABSOLUTE RETRACTS

## Mladen Bestvina and Jerzy Mogilski

**0.** Introduction and preliminaries. The study of infinite-dimensional manifolds modeled on  $Q = [-1, 1]^{\infty}$  and  $s = (-1, 1)^{\infty}$  reached a climax when H. Torunczyk gave a topological characterization theorem for these spaces: A locally compact ANR is a Q-manifold if and only if any map  $f: C \to X$  of a compact (metric) space can be approximated by a closed embedding. Similarly, a complete ANR X is an s-manifold if and only if any map  $f: C \to X$  of a complete (metric) space can be approximated by a closed embedding.

The second author has characterized manifolds modeled on  $\sigma = \{(t_1, t_2, ...) \in$  $[-1,1]^{\infty}$ :  $t_i = 0$  for all but finitely many i) and  $\Sigma = \{(t_1, t_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{Q}^{\infty} : t_i = 0 \text{ for all } \}$ but finitely many i in the same spirit [20]: An ANR X is a  $\sigma$ -manifold if and only if X can be represented as a countable union of finite-dimensional compacta, each of which is a strong Z-set in X, and any map  $f: C \to X$  of a finitedimensional compactum C, that is a Z-embedding when restricted to a closed subset  $D \subseteq C$ , can be approximated by a Z-embedding  $g: C \to X$  so that  $g \mid D =$  $f \mid D$ . (The characterization theorem for  $\Sigma$ -manifolds is obtained by deleting the words "finite-dimensional.") Although the resemblance with the characterization theorems for Q-manifolds and s-manifolds is obvious, one cannot avoid observing the much cleaner structure of Torunczyk's theorems. However, the mention of strong Z-sets is necessary, since examples of fake s-manifolds constructed in [4] lead to a straightforward construction of an AR X that can be represented as  $\sigma \cup \{\text{point}\}\$ , such that  $X \neq \sigma$ , but X satisfies the hypotheses of the characterization theorem for  $\sigma$ , after deleting the word "strong." Similarly, if we replace the relative approximation condition by an absolute one (i.e., requiring  $D = \emptyset$ ), then a counterexample is constructed by J. P. Henderson and J. J. Walsh [18].

In this paper we introduce a notion of strong C-universality for a class C of (separable, metric) spaces. In the case that  $C = \{(\text{finite-dimensional}) \text{ compacta}\}\$  this is precisely the property stated in the characterization theorem for  $\Sigma$  (respectively  $\sigma$ ).

The key idea that allows one to prove the characterization theorem for  $\Sigma$  and  $\sigma$  is the notion of an (f.d.) cap set (finite-dimensional compact absorption set), due to R. D. Anderson [2]. Loosely speaking,  $\Sigma \cong Q - s \subset Q$  is a cap set, since it is strongly C-universal ( $\mathbb{C} = \{\text{compacta}\}\)$  and there are small maps  $Q \to \Sigma \subset Q$ . This notion has been subsequently generalized by different authors (cf. [5], [24], [27], [14]). In §3 we introduce the definition of a C-absorbing set, which represents

Received January 21, 1985.

This paper was completed while the first author was on leave from the University of Michigan to the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley.

Michigan Math. J. 33 (1986).