

# A PROBLEM IN THE CONFORMAL GEOMETRY OF CONVEX SURFACES

Dimitri Koutroufiotis

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A homothety of  $E^3$  in the sense of elementary Euclidean geometry is a mapping of the form  $\vec{x} \rightarrow \lambda \vec{x}$ ; here  $\vec{x}$  and  $\lambda$  denote a position vector and a constant. For subsets  $A$  and  $\bar{A}$  of  $E^3$  we call a mapping  $\Phi: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$  a *homothety* if it is the restriction to  $A$  of a homothety of  $E^3$ .

Let  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  denote two smooth ( $C^\infty$ ), oriented surfaces in  $E^3$ . Suppose that there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Phi$  between them such that at points and directions corresponding to each other under  $\Phi$ , the normal curvatures  $k$  and  $\bar{k}$  satisfy an equation  $\bar{k} = ck$ , where  $c$  is a constant depending on  $\Phi$ , but neither on position nor on direction. If, in addition,  $S$  is not a developable surface and has nowhere-dense umbilics (points where the principal curvatures coincide), then  $\Phi$  is a homothety up to a Euclidean motion. This local result, which actually holds, *mutatis mutandis*, for hypersurfaces in a space of constant curvature, is a trivial generalization of a theorem due to R. S. Kulkarni [5, p. 95]. It would be of interest to investigate whether a similar statement can be made in case the constant  $c$  is replaced by a smooth function  $\phi$  satisfying appropriate assumptions. In this paper we shall show that if  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are ovaloids (that is, compact surfaces in  $E^3$  with positive Gaussian curvature), then the condition  $\bar{k} = \phi k$  does indeed imply that  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are essentially homothetic, provided we impose on  $\phi$  a certain mild restriction. Several local and global questions arise naturally; we shall discuss some of them at the end.

We introduce some additional terminology. Let  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  be smooth, two-dimensional Riemannian (or pseudo-Riemannian) manifolds. A diffeomorphism  $\Phi: S \rightarrow \bar{S}$  will be called *conformal* if there exists a smooth function  $\phi \neq 0$  on  $S$ , the *scale function*, with the property  $\langle \Phi_* \alpha, \Phi_* \beta \rangle_{\Phi(P)} = \phi(P) \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_P$  for all points  $P$  in  $S$  and all vectors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in the tangent space  $S_P$ . If  $(u, v)$  is a pair of local parameters for  $S$ , we may carry it over to  $\bar{S}$ , using  $\Phi$ , so that corresponding points are described by the same pair  $(u, v)$ . We may then say, equivalently, that  $\Phi$  is conformal if the quadratic forms  $\Lambda$  and  $\bar{\Lambda}$  corresponding to the metrics on  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  satisfy the condition  $\bar{\Lambda} = \phi \Lambda$  in these parameters. In the case of surfaces in  $E^3$ , "conformal" with no further specification will always mean conformal with respect to their first fundamental forms.

---

Received August 12, 1974.

Partially supported by NSF Grant GP-42833.

Michigan Math. J. 22 (1975).