

# AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF KATĚTOV'S THEOREM CONCERNING Q-SPACES

S. Mrówka

We recall here that a completely regular (Hausdorff) space  $X$  is called a  $Q$ -space [1] provided that every homomorphism  $\phi$  of the ring  $C(X)$  of all real continuous functions defined on  $X$  into the ring of real numbers which does not vanish identically on  $C(X)$  is of the form

$$\phi(f) = f(p_0) \quad \text{for all } f \text{ in } C(X),$$

where  $p_0$  is a fixed point of  $X$ .  $Q$ -spaces can be characterized in a purely topological manner; for instance, it is shown in [5] that a completely regular space  $X$  is a  $Q$ -space if and only if it satisfies the following condition.

(Q): *for every point  $p_0$  from  $\beta X \setminus X$ , there exists a function  $f: \beta X \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(p_0) = 0$  and  $f(p) > 0$  for  $p$  in  $X$ .*

$I$  denotes here the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$ ;  $f: \beta X \rightarrow I$  means that  $f$  is a continuous function which maps  $\beta X$  into  $I$ .

In [3] Katětov has proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *If  $X$  is a paracompact space and every closed discrete subspace of  $X$  is a  $Q$ -space, then  $X$  is also a  $Q$ -space.*

(This theorem is a particular case of Shirota's result [6]: *if a space  $X$  admits a complete uniformity and every closed discrete subspace of  $X$  is a  $Q$ -space, then  $X$  is a  $Q$ -space.* Indeed, every paracompact space admits a complete uniformity.)

We shall give here another, more elementary proof of Katětov's theorem. We begin with the following remarks.

Clearly, the problem whether a discrete space is a  $Q$ -space depends only upon the cardinality of the space. Moreover, if  $R$  is a discrete space and  $R_0$  is an arbitrary subspace of  $R$ , then  $\overline{R_0} = \beta R_0$ , where  $\overline{R_0}$  denotes the closure of  $R_0$  in  $\beta R$ . Hence, using the condition (Q), one can easily infer that if  $R$  is a  $Q$ -space, then  $R_0$  is also. Therefore we can state:

(i) *if  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are discrete spaces with  $\overline{R_1} \leq \overline{R_2}$ , and  $R_2$  is a  $Q$ -space, then  $R_1$  is also a  $Q$ -space.*

Denote as  $m_0$  the least cardinal such that the discrete space of the cardinality  $m_0$  is not a  $Q$ -space. (It can be shown [2], that  $m_0$  is the so-called *first measurable cardinal*; this fact, however, will not be used in our reasonings. In particular, the non-existence of such cardinal would only simplify the proof.) According to (i), it follows that:

(ii) *a discrete space  $R$  is a  $Q$ -space if and only if  $\overline{R} < m_0$ .*

Notice that if  $\{F_\xi: \xi \in \Xi\}$  is a discrete system of subsets of a space (we recall here that a system of subsets of a space is said to be *discrete* provided that each point of the space has a neighbourhood which intersects at most one member of the