

# A REMARK ON SOME ALMOST PERIODIC COMPACTIFICATIONS

Irving Glicksberg

1. The author is indebted to K. de Leeuw for raising the following, at first glance rather bizarre, question: if  $G$  is a noncompact, locally compact abelian group, with almost periodic compactification  $G^*$ , is  $G^*$  the Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta(G^* \setminus G)$  of  $G^* \setminus G$ ? (As usual, we view  $G$  as a subset of  $G^*$ .) At least when the character group  $G^\wedge$  of  $G$  is not totally disconnected, the answer is *affirmative* (when  $G^\wedge$  is totally disconnected, our approach simply fails).

Actually de Leeuw's question is not at all unnatural, since  $G$  forms a rather small part, and thus  $G^* \setminus G$  a rather large part, of the "large" space  $G^*$ , as is more or less well known. For example, Borel subsets of  $G$ , that is, elements of the  $\sigma$ -ring generated by compact sets, are of  $G^*$ -Haar measure zero, so that, if  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact,  $G$  itself is of  $G^*$ -Haar measure zero; a special proof for  $G = \mathbb{R}$  appears in [2, Thm.4.3], but one can argue that if a Borel set  $E$  of  $G$  (automatically a Borel set in  $G^*$ ) is of positive  $G^*$ -Haar measure, then  $E - E$  has interior in  $G^*$ , so that  $G$  is imbedded homeomorphically in  $G^*$ . As a dense locally compact subgroup,  $G$  must fill out all of  $G^*$ , and  $G = G^*$  is both compact and noncompact.

Since there are few tools available for showing a compact space to be the Stone-Čech compactification of a given subspace, there is probably no need to apologize for our use of the known structure of locally compact abelian groups; and while the result may be classed as a curiosity, it seems worth recording.

The notation used below is standard, as in [3], [4]; however we shall speak of the "direct product," where Kaplansky [3] uses "complete direct sum," for topological suggestiveness (if  $H$  is a compact group and we express  $H^\wedge$  as a (weak) direct sum, there is a dual representation of  $H$  as a direct product, *topologically* and algebraically). Finally, we shall let  $G^d$  represent the discretized version of  $G$ , so that  $G^* = G^{d\wedge}$  [4, p. 170].

2. Let  $\{X_\nu\}$  be an uncountable set of compact Hausdorff spaces,  $b = \{b_\nu\}$  an element of the topological product  $X = \prod X_\nu$ , and  $X^b$  the subspace of  $X$  formed by all elements  $x = \{x_\nu\}$  with  $x_\nu \neq b_\nu$  for at most countably many  $\nu$ . Then [1, Thm. 2]  $\beta(X^b) = X$ ; therefore clearly  $X^b \subset Y \subset X$  implies  $\beta(Y) = X$ .

Now suppose that  $G$  is our noncompact but locally compact abelian group, and that we can represent  $G^*$  as a direct product of uncountably many compact groups. (Such a representation is not always possible if  $G^\wedge$  is totally disconnected; for example, if  $G^\wedge$  is the compact group of  $p$ -adic integers, an algebraically indecomposable group,  $G^* = G^{d\wedge}$  is not a product.) Then it will suffice to show that

$$(2.1) \quad G^* \neq G + G^{*0}$$

where  $G^{*0}$  is the subgroup of the product  $G^*$  consisting of all elements with at most countably many coordinates different from 0. For then  $G^* \setminus G$  contains a coset of  $G^{*0}$ , and the result of [1] cited above applies.