

# CONCERNING THE ORDER STRUCTURE OF KÖTHE SEQUENCE SPACES, II

A. L. Peressini

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper investigates the order structure of the space  $L(\lambda, \mu)$  of weakly continuous linear mappings of a sequence space  $\lambda$  into another sequence space  $\mu$ . The weak topologies referred to here are those formed with respect to the respective  $\alpha$ -duals of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , while the order structure of  $L(\lambda, \mu)$  is that generated by the positive mappings in  $L(\lambda, \mu)$  when  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are equipped with their natural order. We shall use several important results concerning the algebraic structure of  $L(\lambda, \mu)$  that are found in the fundamental paper [6] of G. Köthe and O. Toeplitz and in the work of H. S. Allen (see [1] and Chapter 6 of [4]). We shall also use the results and terminology of our earlier work [8].

## 2. PRELIMINARY MATERIAL

Throughout this paper we shall assume that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real sequence spaces containing the space  $\phi$  of sequences with only a finite number of nonzero components. The positive cones of sequences with nonnegative components in  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  will be denoted systematically by  $K_\lambda$  and  $K_\mu$ , respectively;  $K'_\lambda$  and  $K'_\mu$  will denote the corresponding dual cones in the  $\alpha$ -duals  $\lambda^*$  and  $\mu^*$  of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , respectively. We shall always assume that  $\lambda$  is a solid; that is, if  $|x| \leq |y|$  and  $y \in \lambda$ , then  $x \in \lambda$  (here  $|x| = (|x_i|)$  denotes the lattice-theoretic absolute value of  $x$  in  $\lambda$ ). We refer the reader to [5] and [8] for further details concerning the topological and order-theoretic properties of sequence spaces.

A *matrix transformation* on  $\lambda$  into  $\mu$  is an infinite matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  with the following properties:

(M<sub>1</sub>) For each  $x = (x_j) \in \lambda$ , the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}x_j$  converges absolutely for each  $i$ .

(M<sub>2</sub>) For each  $x = (x_j) \in \lambda$ , the equation  $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}x_j$  defines an element  $y = (y_i)$  of  $\mu$ .

If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a matrix transformation on  $\lambda$  into  $\mu$ , then the mapping  $y = Ax$  defined by (M<sub>2</sub>) is clearly a linear mapping of  $\lambda$  into  $\mu$ . On the other hand, if  $T$  is a linear mapping of  $\lambda$  into  $\mu$  and if there exists a matrix transformation  $A$  of  $\lambda$  into  $\mu$  such that  $Tx = Ax$  for all  $x \in \lambda$ , then  $T$  is *represented* by  $A$ . If  $T$  is represented by a matrix transformation  $A$ , then  $A$  is unique, since  $\lambda$  contains the "unit vectors"  $e^{(k)} = (\delta_{ik} : i = 1, 2, \dots)$  ( $\delta_{ik}$  denotes the Kronecker delta). The following result, essentially due to G. Köthe, O. Toeplitz, and H. S. Allen, is stated here in a form convenient for our purposes.

(2.1) PROPOSITION. *The following conditions on a linear mapping  $T$  of  $\lambda$  into  $\mu$  are equivalent:*

---

Received February 10, 1964.

This work was supported in part by a National Science Foundation contract.