A SINGULAR NONLINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

J.C. KURTZ

We consider the singular non-linear boundary value problem

(1.1)
$$\ddot{y} + \frac{\gamma}{t}\dot{y} - y + f(y^2)y = 0, \quad t \in (0, \infty)$$

(1.2)
$$\lim_{t\to 0+} y(t) > 0, \lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0, \lim_{t\to 0+} \dot{y}(t) = 0,$$

where $1 \le \gamma \le 2$. It is shown that for certain functions f, positive in $(0, \infty)$ and continuous in $[0, \infty)$, the equation (1.1) has solutions $y_n(t)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, which satisfy (1.2) and vanish at n distinct points in $(0, \infty)$.

The problem is motivated by a model for stationary self-focusing of light beams given by Zakharov, Sobolev, and Synakh [12]; and others. After some simplification, their equation becomes

(1.3)
$$\ddot{y} + \frac{1}{t}\dot{y} - y + f(y^2)y = 0.$$

Of particular interest is the case f(s) = s, in which case (1.3) becomes

(1.4)
$$\ddot{y} + \frac{1}{t}\dot{y} - y + y^3 = 0.$$

Ryder [11] and Macki [6] have considered the equation

$$\ddot{x} - x + xF(x^2, t) = 0,$$

which under the substitutions

$$F(x^2, t) = f(x^2/t^2), y(t) = t^{-1}x(t)$$

becomes our equation (1.1) with $\gamma = 2$. The range $1 \leq \gamma < 2$ is not included, and our condition (III) on the nonlinearity is different from theirs, so that neither result is contained in the other even for $\gamma = 2$. Nehari [10] has considered the equation

(1.5)
$$\ddot{y} + \frac{2}{t}\dot{y} - y + y^3 = 0,$$

which is also included in (1.1) for $\gamma = 2$. The thrust of this paper is to

Received by the editors on May 8, 1979.

Copyright © 1981 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium