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1. Introduction. A path field $\varphi$ on a space $X$ is a map $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{I}$ such that $\varphi(x)(0)=x, x \in X$, and if $\varphi(x)(t)=x$ for $t>0$ then $\varphi(x)$ is the constant path. $\varphi$ is non-singular if $\varphi(x)$ is never the constant path. A non-singular path field $\varphi$ is simple if $\varphi(x)$ is a simple are for each $x$. Differentiable manifolds of (Euler) characteristic zero admit simple path fields while topological manifolds of characteristic zero are known to admit non-singular path fields [1]. The existence of simple path fields in the topological category is an open question. The purpose of this note is to observe that in the case of triangulated manifolds of characteristic zero it is easy to find a simple path field. In fact, every polyhedron $K$ satisfying the so-called Wecken condition of characteristic zero admits a simple path field $\varphi$ such that the track of $\varphi(x)$ is a broken line segment.
2. Preliminaries. Let $K$ denote a finite polyhedron. We will not distinguish in the notation between $K$ as a simplicial complex and $K$ as the underlying space. If $x$ is a point of $K$, then $\sigma(x)$ is the unique (open) simplex of $K$ which contains $x$. Furthermore, if $\Delta$ represents the diagonal in $K \times K$, there is a special neighborhood of $\Delta$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\Delta)=\{(x, y): \boldsymbol{\sigma}(x) \text { and } \boldsymbol{\sigma}(y) \text { have a common vertex }\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each point $x \in K$ also has a special neighborhood defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\{y:(x, y) \in \eta(\Delta)\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following R. F. Brown [2], we call a map $f: K \rightarrow K$ a proximity map if $f(x) \in V(x)$ for all $x \in K$.

If $a, b$ are points of $K$ in the same closed simplex, then $[a, b]$ will denote the segment from $a$ to $b$. The following lemma is a somewhat stronger version of a lemma contained in [2] and [3]. The proof is the same except for additional observations.

