

DISFOCALITY AND NONOSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF N-TH-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

W. J. KIM

In this paper we shall study various disfocality properties and their consequences on solutions of the differential equation

$$(E) \quad y^{(n)} + py = 0,$$

where p is continuous and of constant sign on $[a, \infty)$. Equation (E) is said to be *disfocal* on an interval I if, for every nontrivial solution y of (E), at least one of the functions $y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}$ does not vanish on I . If equation (E) is not disfocal on I , then there exists an integer $k(1 \leq k \leq n - 1)$, a pair of points $b, c \in I, b < c$, and a nontrivial solution y of (E) such that k of the functions $y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}$ vanish at b and the remaining $n - k$ functions at c , i.e.,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} y^{(j_i)}(b) &= 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1, \\ y^{(j_i)}(c) &= 0, i = k, \dots, n - 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \leq j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_{k-1} \leq n - 1, 0 \leq j_k < j_{k+1} < \dots < j_{n-1} \leq n - 1.$$

Here, $n - k$ is even or odd according as $p < 0$ or $p > 0$ [10], which is the well-known parity condition that every nontrivial solution of the problem (E)-(1) must satisfy. Equation (E) is said to be $(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}) - (j_k, \dots, j_{n-1})$ *disfocal* on an interval I if for every pair of points b and c in $I, b < c$, the only solution satisfying the conditions in (1) is the trivial solution; furthermore, if $j_i = i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, it is said to be $k - (n - k)$ *disfocal*, and this special case has been investigated by Nehari [10, 11, 12] and Elias [2, 3, 4]. We shall say that equation (E) is *eventually* $(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}) - (j_k, \dots, j_{n-1})$ *disfocal* on $[a, \infty)$ if there exists a point $b \geq a$ such that (E) is $(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}) - (j_k, \dots, j_{n-1})$ disfocal on $[b, \infty)$. The concept of eventual $(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{k-1}) - (j_k, \dots, j_{n-1})$ disfocality is related to the existence of nonoscillatory solutions satisfying a set of sign conditions as shown in Lemma 2. On the other hand, Lemma 1 states that only certain sets of sign conditions are admissible for nonoscillatory solutions of (E). Since the admissible sign conditions strongly depend on the parity of n and the sign of p , it is convenient to consider the following four cases:

Received by the editors on May 3, 1979, and in revised form on Spetember 8, 1979.