

RELATIVE COHEN-MACAULAYNESS AND RELATIVE UNMIXEDNESS OF BIGRADED MODULES

MARYAM JAHANGIRI AND AHAD RAHIMI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the finitely generated bigraded modules over a standard bigraded polynomial ring that are relative Cohen-Macaulay or relatively unmixed with respect to one of the irrelevant bigraded ideals. A generalization of Reisner's criterion for Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complexes is considered.

Introduction. Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ be the standard bigraded polynomial ring over a field K . We set $P = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $Q = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Let M be a finitely generated bigraded S -module. In [11] we call M relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q if we have only one non-vanishing local cohomology with respect to Q . In other words, $\text{grade}(Q, M) = \text{cd}(Q, M)$ where $\text{cd}(Q, M)$ denotes the cohomological dimension of M with respect to Q .

In [11], it is shown that if M is a finitely generated bigraded Cohen-Macaulay S -module, then M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to P if and only if " M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to Q ." In Section 1, inspired by this result, we raise the following question: if M is relative Cohen-Macaulay with respect to P and Q , is M Cohen-Macaulay? We have an example in dimension 2 which shows that this is not true in general. The question has a positive answer in some special cases.

Next we show M to be relatively unmixed with respect to Q if $\text{cd}(Q, M) = \text{cd}(Q, S/\mathfrak{p})$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$. We prove that relative Cohen-Macaulay modules with respect to Q are relatively unmixed with respect to Q but the converse is not true in general. The converse is true under some additional assumptions.

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 3D45, 16W50, 13C14, 13F55.

The first author was supported in part by a grant from IPM (No. 89130115). The second author was supported in part by a grant from IPM (No. 89130050).

Received by the editors on March 31, 2011, and in revised form on November 17, 2011.

DOI:10.1216/JCA-2012-4-4-551 Copyright ©2012 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium