

AN EXTENSION OF RADON'S THEOREM¹

BY
JOHN R. REAY

1. introduction

Let " m -set" mean a set of m points in the d -dimensional space R^d . An m -set is said to be (r, k) -divisible if it can be partitioned into r pair-wise disjoint subsets in such a way that the intersection of the convex hulls of these r subsets is at least k -dimensional. (We always assume $0 \leq k \leq d$. The empty set is (-1) -dimensional, while 0-dimensional sets are non-empty.)

A classic theorem of J. Radon [5] asserts that each $(d + 2)$ -set is $(2, 0)$ -divisible. The first generalization for $r > 2$ was given by R. Rado [4]. B. Birch [1] conjectured (and proved for $d = 2$) that each $((d + 1)(r - 1) + 1)$ -set is $(r, 0)$ -divisible, while H. Tverberg [6] established this conjecture for all values of d . It is clear that if $k > 0$ then other conditions on a given m -set S besides a lower bound on its cardinality are necessary if S is to be (r, k) -divisible. For example, if all the points of S were on a line in R^d , no subset would have a convex hull of dimension greater than one. The purpose of this paper is to consider various types of independence that may be imposed upon an m -set to insure (r, k) -divisibility (Section 3) and to prove the following theorem which extends the results mentioned above.

THEOREM 1. *Each $[(d + 1)(r - 1) + k + 1]$ -set of strongly independent points in R^d is (r, k) -divisible.*

A set S in R^d is said to be *strongly independent* provided that each finite family $\{S_1, \dots, S_r\}$ of pair-wise disjoint subsets of S has the following property:

If $d_i = (\text{card } S_i) - 1 \leq d$, then

$$(1) \quad \dim (\cap_{i=1}^r \text{aff } S_i) = \max (-1, d - \sum_{i=1}^r (d - d_i)).$$

(Condition (1) may be thought of as follows: Since $(d - d_i)$ is just the deficiency of $\text{aff } S_i$ when S_i is in general position, condition (1) implies the general position of S and its subsets. Thus the right side of the equation is essentially the dimension of the space reduced by the deficiencies of the flats $\text{aff } S_i$. This keeps the flats $\text{aff } S_i$ from forming "pencils of lines", "books of planes", etc.)

We will let $\text{lin } S$, $\text{aff } S$, $\text{card } S$, and $\text{conv } S$ denote respectively the linear

Received January 6, 1967.

¹ The author is grateful to the Grünbaum Foundation for its incentive and support of this research.