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Let $\Theta$ denote the theta divisor of a principally polarized abelian variety (ppav) $A$ over the complex numbers. Let $g=\operatorname{dim}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Sing}_{m}(\Theta)=\left\{p \in \Theta \mid \operatorname{mult}_{p}(\Theta) \geqslant\right.$ $m\}$. J. Kollár [K, Theorem 17.13] proved that the pair $(A, \Theta)$ is $\log$ canonical, hence $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Sing}_{m}(\Theta)\right) \leqslant g-m$ for each $m \geqslant 2$. In particular, for $m=g+1$, $\operatorname{Sing}_{g+1}(\Theta)=\varnothing$; that is, the theta divisor cannot have a point of multiplicity larger than $g$. Kollár also remarked [K, Remark 17.13.1] that it may be possible that if $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Sing}_{m}(\Theta)\right)=g-m$ for some $2 \leqslant m \leqslant g$, then $(A, \Theta)$ is a product of lower-dimensional ppav's. (The $m=2$ case of the question was already well known as the " $N_{g-2}$ conjecture.") In this paper, we settle affirmatively the case of multiplicity $m=g$.

Theorem. Let $(A, \Theta)$ be a g-dimensional ppav over the complex numbers. Suppose that there exists a point of multiplicity $g$ on the theta divisor $\Theta$. Then $(A, \Theta)$ is isomorphic (as ppav) to the product of $g$ elliptic curves. In particular, if $(A, \Theta)$ is indecomposable (equivalently, if $\Theta$ is irreducible), then $\operatorname{Sing}_{g}(\Theta)=\varnothing$.
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The idea of the proof is to exploit the relation between the self-intersection number of the cohomology class of $\Theta$ and the multiplicities of certain geometric self-intersections of $\Theta$. Briefly, under the assumption that $\Theta$ has a point of multiplicity $g$, a 1 -cycle $C$ is constructed in the self-intersection class [ $\Theta]^{(g-1)}$ (using Kollár's theorem), such that $C=(g-1)!\Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is an effective 1 -cycle representing the cohomology class $\theta^{g-1} /(g-1)$ ! By the Matsusaka-Hoyt criterion,

